1 Genome-wide diversity in lowland and highland maize landraces

2 from southern South America: population genetics insights to assist

3 conservation

- 4 Pia Guadalupe Dominguez ^{1*#}, Angela Veronica Gutierrez ^{1*}, Monica Irina Fass ¹,
- 5 Carla Valeria Filippi^{1,2}, Pablo Vera¹, Andrea Puebla¹, Raquel Alicia Defacio³, Norma
- 6 Beatriz Paniego¹, Veronica Viviana Lia^{1,4}
- ¹ Instituto de Agrobiotecnología y Biología Molecular (IABIMO), Instituto Nacional de Tecnología
 8 Agropecuaria (INTA), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET),
- 9 Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ² Laboratorio de Bioquímica, Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Facultad de Agronomía,
 Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- ³ Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Estación Experimental Agropecuaria
 Pergamino, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ⁴ Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- 15 *These authors contributed equally to this work
- 16 #Corresponding author: piagdominguez@gmail.com
- 17

18 E-mails and ORCID numbers:

- 19 PGD: piagdominguez@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0413-2273
- 20 AVG: angelaagutierrez@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8693-3351
- 21 MIF: fass.monica@inta.gob.ar
- 22 CVF: cfilippi@fagro.edu.uy, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5564-7480
- 23 PV: vera.pablo@inta.gob.ar
- AP: puebla.andrea@inta.gob.ar, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5181-1378
- 25 RAD: defacio.raquel@inta.gob.ar, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2670-3065
- 26 NBP: paniego.norma@inta.gob.ar, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3906-5330
- 27 VVL: lia.veronica@inta.gob.ar, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8849-8349
- 28
- 29
- 30

31 Abstract

Maize (Zea mays ssp. mays L.) landraces are traditional American crops with high 32 genetic variability that conform a source of original alleles for conventional maize 33 34 breeding. Northern Argentina, one the southernmost regions of traditional maize 35 cultivation in the Americas, harbours around 57 races traditionally grown in two 36 regions with contrasting environmental conditions, namely the Andean mountains in the Northwest and the tropical grasslands and Atlantic Forest in the Northeast. 37 These races encounter diverse threats to their genetic diversity and persistence in 38 39 their regions of origin, with climate change standing out as one of the major 40 challenges. In this work, we use genome-wide SNPs derived from ddRADseq to 41 study the genetic diversity of individuals representing the five groups previously described for this area. This allowed us to distinguish two clearly differentiated gene 42 pools, the Highland Northwestern maize (HNWA) and the Floury Northeastern 43 44 maize (FNEA). Subsequently, we employed Essential Biodiversity Variables at the genetic level, as proposed by the Group on Earth Observations Biodiversity 45 Observation Network (GEO BON), to evaluate the conservation status of these two 46 This assessment encompassed genetic diversity (Pi), inbreeding 47 groups. coefficient (F), and effective population size (Ne). FNEA showed low Ne values and 48 high F values, while HNWA showed low Ne values and low Pi values, indicating that 49 further genetic erosion is imminent for these landraces. Outlier detection methods 50 allowed identification of putative adaptive genomic regions, consistent with 51 previously reported flowering-time loci and chromosomal regions displaying 52 introgression from the teosinte Zea mays ssp. mexicana. Finally, species 53 distribution models were obtained for two future climate scenarios, showing a 54 55 notable reduction in the potential planting area of HNWA and a shift in the cultivation areas of FNEA. Taken together, these results suggest that maize 56 57 landraces from Northern Argentina may not be able to cope with climate change. Therefore, active conservation policies are advisable. 58

59 Keywords

60 Maize landraces-Northern Argentina-Essential Biodiversity Variables-Conservation

61

62 Introduction

Maize landraces are varieties that have been grown by local communities 63 throughout the Americas since pre-Columbian times (Gupta et al., 2020). They 64 65 differ from commercial hybrids in that they are open-pollinated, and cultivated through traditional methods (Mercer et al., 2008; Casañas et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 66 67 2020). The cultivation characteristics of these varieties include cross-pollination between fields, seed exchange by farmers, and selection by both agricultural 68 management and environmental conditions (Mercer et al., 2008; Casañas et al., 69 70 2017). Due to this, landraces often have high genetic variability and constitute a 71 valuable source of original alleles for breeding. On the other hand, commercial 72 hybrids capture only a small fraction of this variation, because of the use of a limited set of landraces in breeding programs (Hufford et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2017). 73 74 Moreover, the replacement of landraces with more productive, but genetically 75 uniform, commercial germplasm has led to significant genetic erosion (Dwivedi et al., 2016, Heck et al., 2020, Gupta et al., 2020). Therefore, active landrace 76 conservation actions are essential to preserve the genetic and phenotypic 77 78 variability of this crop.

79 The Group on Earth Observations Biodiversity Observation Network (GEO BON; 80 https://geobon.org/) has defined the Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) as a set 81 of variables of different origin that serve to capture critical scales and dimensions related to biodiversity, including how biodiversity is geographically distributed and 82 how it varies over time (Pereira et al., 2013; Brummitt et al., 2017; Navarro et al., 83 2017; Schmeller et al., 2017; Hoban et al., 2022). At the genetic level, Hoban et al. 84 (2022) proposed to evaluate four EBVs: genetic diversity, genetic differentiation, 85 inbreeding and effective population size, which provide information on genetic 86 87 variation at different levels (within populations, between populations, within 88 individuals, and change in genetic diversity due to drift, respectively) using a single genomic data set. EBVs encompass metrics that can be used to forecast the status 89 and trends of genetic diversity, which is the cornerstone of species resilience, and 90 essential to their ability to adapt to environmental conditions (Hoban et al., 2022). 91 Although the concept of EBV is usually applied to natural populations or invasive 92

species (Hoban et al., 2022), these metrics could also be applied to domesticated
species such as maize given that EBVs respond to both natural and anthropogenic
drivers.

96 Climate change is currently one of the main threats to crop species diversity, 97 making germplasm conservation one of the most pressing present-day challenges (Gupta et al., 2020). Commercial maize production is estimated to fall by 50% with 98 99 a 4°C temperature increase and by 10% with a 2°C temperature increase in major 100 maize producing countries (Tigchelaar et al., 2018). Landraces are characterised by 101 being locally adapted, i.e., by presenting greater fitness in their native habitats than 102 in other environments (Savolainen et al., 2013). Under a climate change scenario, 103 the only possibilities for landraces to survive in their original locations are either by 104 evolving via selection upon standing variation or through plasticity (Cang et al., 105 2016). However, Cang et al. (2016) estimated that the speed of climate change is 106 5,000 times faster than the adaptive capacity of 230 species of the Gramineae 107 family. This suggests that rapid adaptation to changing conditions in local 108 environments is not likely to happen, implying that climate change may significantly 109 affect maize landraces too. Understanding how local germplasm has adapted to its 110 surroundings can help lessen the potential of diversity reductions. Thus, in addition to EBVs, focusing on adaptive variation adds a significant aspect to conservation 111 considerations since identifying genes under selection may help quantify the extent 112 113 of local adaptation and provide information on the molecular processes behind 114 phenotypic divergence.

115 Northern Argentina is one of the southernmost regions of maize landrace cultivation 116 in South America and it has been proposed as an ancient contact zone between 117 Andean and Tropical lowland germplasm (Vigoroux et al., 2008; Tenaillon and Charcosset, 2011). This area harbours ca. 57 maize landraces and encompasses 118 119 two clearly differentiated agroecosystems: the Northwest, and the Northeast 120 (Bracco et al., 2012; Melchiorre et al., 2017; Realini et al., 2018). In Northwestern Argentina (NWA), maize cultivation extends to an altitude of ca. 4,000 meters above 121 122 sea level (m.a.s.l.), daily temperature ranges are large, precipitations are below 350 123 mm/year, oxygen pressure is low, soil nutrients are scarce and radiation indices are

high (Rivas et al., 2022). By contrast, altitude in Northeastern Argentina (NEA) does
not exceed 800 m.a.s.l. while climate is subtropical, with average annual
temperature between 15 and 23 °C and annual precipitation between 1,000 and
2,000 mm. Soils in NEA are clayish with limiting components (nitrogen, phosphorus,
organic matter), low pH and low to medium fertility (Heck et al., 2020).

129 Bracco et al. (2016) found significant molecular differentiation between NWA and 130 NEA landraces, and identified three genetic groups: NWA maize, Floury Northeastern maize (FNEA) and Northeastern Popcorns (PNEA). More recently, 131 microsatellite analysis of NWA landraces revealed that there is an altitude-132 133 associated genetic structure, with two main genetic pools: Highland Northwestern 134 maize or HNWA, cultivated at more than 2,000 m.a.s.l., and Lowland Northwestern maize or LNWA, cultivated below 2,000 m.a.s.l. (Rivas et al., 2022). Additionally, a 135 136 third NWA group, the Northwestern Popcorns (PNWA), was recognized by Lia et al. 137 (2009). Previous studies showed that HNWA are associated with Andean landraces 138 and that FNEA represents a unique, locally adapted gene pool, with no clear 139 connections to any other lowland maize from South America (Lia et al., 2009; 140 Bracco et al., 2016; Lopez et al., 2021). Similarly, the origins and affiliations of LNWA 141 remain unknown. Overall, the complex structuring of genetic diversity suggests that 142 further efforts are still needed to delineate significant units and effectively assist 143 conservation.

In this work, we use genome-wide molecular markers derived from ddRADseq and the genetic EBVs proposed by Hoban et al. (2022) to assess the conservation status of maize landraces from NWA and NEA. In addition, to test for evidence of adaptive divergence we searched for selection signals. Finally, we used two future climate scenarios to perform Bayesian modelling of species distribution. The results of this work suggest that the long-term diversity of maize landraces of Northern Argentina is compromised, and that more active conservation policies are advisable.

151

152 Materials and methods

153 Plant Material

154 A set of 87 maize individuals representative of the genetic and morphological 155 groups previously identified for the Northeast and Northwest of Argentina were obtained from the "Banco Activo de Germoplasma INTA Pergamino" (BAP; INTA, 156 157 Pergamino, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and from the "N.I. Vavilov" Plant Genetic 158 Resource Laboratory, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Buenos Aires. General characteristics of the accessions, including ID, racial classification, and collection 159 160 site, are given in Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 1. A priori group assignment is 161 based on the analysis of microsatellite data according to Lia et al. (2009), Bracco et al. (2016), López et al. (2021), and Rivas et al. (2022) (Supplementary Table 1). The 162 map was made with QGIS v3.16.16-Hannover (https://ggis.org/en/site/), employing 163 164 a 1:50m political map from Natural Earth (https://www.naturalearthdata.com/) and a 5-minute latitude/longitude grid digital elevation model from the European 165 166 Environment Agency (https://data.europa.eu/data/datasets/data_world-digital-167 elevation-model-etopo5?locale=es).

168 **DNA Extraction**

Plants were germinated in a greenhouse under controlled conditions (80% relative humidity; 200 mmol PAR s-1m-2; 16 h of light/8 h of darkness). DNA was extracted with the protocol by Dellaporta et al. (1983) from 100 mg of fresh leaves. The quality of the DNA was checked using a NanoDrop1000 (DNA quality criterion by absorbance: A260/A280 > 1.8 and A260/A230 ≈ 1.8-2.2) and through runs on 0.8% agarose gels. DNA was quantified using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

176 DNA Sequencing

The preparation of the genomic libraries was carried out using the protocol developed by Aguirre et al. (2019). Briefly, DNA samples were digested with two digestion enzymes, one of rare cleavage and one of frequent cleavage (SphI + MboI). Adapters (4-9 bp) published by Peterson et al. (2014) were ligated to the digested fragments. The reactions were incubated for one hour at 23 °C, followed by an additional one-hour incubation at 20 °C. Ligations from all samples were mixed in equal DNA amounts in pools of 22-24 individuals, concentrated, and finally purified

184 with 1X Ampure XP beads per group. Next, an automated size selection (one for 185 each pool) was performed on a 2% agarose cassette in SAGE ELF (Sage Science, Inc., Beverly, MA, USA). 450-bp fragments were retained and subsequently purified 186 187 with 0.8X AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, USA). Finally, PCRs were 188 carried out for each of the pools employing dual-indexed primers (Lange et al., 2014). The four pools were put together. Low-depth sequencing was performed on 189 190 a MySeq Illumina (Albany, USA) equipment in the Genomics Unit of the IABIMO 191 (Hurlingham, Buenos Aires, Argentina) to verify the correct assembly of the library. The samples were sent to the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center 192 (CYMMIT, El Batán, Texcoco, Mexico), where they were sequenced on an Illumina 193 194 Novaseq (Albany, USA) device with paired-end readings (2x150 bp).

195 ddRADseq bioinformatics analysis

196 Raw reads were curated for quality in Stacks v1.42 (Catchen et al., 2013). Barcodes were removed and reads were trimmed to 150 bp. SNP calling was also performed 197 with Stacks v1.42. The parameters used were: -m 3 (minimum depth of coverage), -198 199 M 2 (distance allowed between stacks), -n 3 (distance allowed between catalog 200 loci). Reads of each sample were mapped against the V4 version of maize B73 201 (https://www.maizegdb.org/genome/assembly/Zm-B73reference genome 202 REFERENCE-GRAMENE-4.0) with Bowtie 2 (Langmead et al., 2012). The resulting vcf file was filtered with VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011). Only sites fulfilling the 203 204 following requirements were retained: a maximum proportion of missing data of 205 35% (--max-missing 0.65); a minimum number of times that an allele appears over 206 all individuals at a given site equal to 4 (--mac 4); a mean depth value greater than 207 or equal to 8 per individual (--minDP 8); a minimum distance between sites equal to 208 200 bp (--thin200). The unfiltered and filtered vcf files are provided in 209 Supplementary tables 2 and 3, respectively. The imputation of the filtered vcf file 210 was carried out with Beagle (Browning et al., 2018). The filtered imputed vcf file is found in Supplementary table 4. The genomic variant annotation was performed 211 212 with SnpEff (Cingolani et al., 2012). The filtered, annotated, and imputed vcf file is found in Supplementary table 5. This vcf file was used for all subsequent analyses. 213

The graph of the SNP density was plotted with the CMplot package (Yin et al., 2021)

215 in R (https://www.r-project.org/).

216 **Population structure analyses**

217 A neighbor joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree based on Euclidean distances was built with Tassel (Bradbury et al., 2007) and graphed with Itol (https://itol.embl.de/). The 218 principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with the Adegenet package 219 version 2.1.10 in R (Jombart et al., 2008). The discriminant analysis of principal 220 221 components (DAPC) was performed using the Adegenet package in R (Jombart et 222 al., 2008). The "find.clusters" function was used to find the optimal number of 223 clusters (k) to describe the data employing the BIC values criteria. The DAPC itself 224 was implemented with the "xvalDapc" function using the previously inferred k 225 groups and cross-validation to define the number of PCs. A Bayesian analysis of population structure was performed with the STRUCTURE software employing the 226 227 admixture model with correlated allele frequencies (Pritchard et al., 2000). Between 2 and 6 clusters (Ks) were evaluated running 3 times each K (burn-in: 50,000; 228 229 iterations: 100,000). The deltaK method (Evanno et al., 2005) was used to determine 230 the most probable K through the Structure Harvester program (Earl et al., 2012). The 231 allele frequency divergence estimate given by the software was used to measure the differentiation between STRUCTURE groups. 232

233 Characterisation of potential conservation units

Based on the findings of the various population structure analyses, two groups, HNWA and FNEA, were chosen for further investigation using EBVs, genome scans of selection, and habitat distribution modelling. Only those individuals that were unequivocally assigned to each genetic cluster by the STRUCTURE and DAPC methods were considered for further analyses (membership coefficients or assignment probabilities > 0.75, respectively) (Supplementary Table 1).

240 Linkage disequilibrium

Linkage disequilibrium was calculated as the squared allele frequency correlation (r²) employing the --geno-r2 option of VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011). The expected decay of linkage disequilibrium (r²) with physical distance was modelled for each

chromosome using Hill and Weir's equation (1988) on the basis of the scriptdeveloped by Marroni et al. (2011).

246 Estimation of EBVs

Nucleotide diversity (Pi) per site, inbreeding coefficient (F), Hardy-Weinberg 247 equilibrium and fixation index per site (Fst, Weir and Cockerham, 1984) were 248 computed with VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011) using the --site-pi, --het, --hardy and 249 --weir-fst-pop functions, respectively. The nucleotide diversity per site as 250 251 calculated with VCFtools is equivalent to the expected heterozygosity. Graphs were 252 plotted with ggplot2 in R (Wickham, 2016), with the Pi per site graphs being Loess 253 smoothing plotted. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium plots were made with the 254 CMplot package in R (Yin et al., 2021). The effective population size was estimated employing the linkage disequilibrium method implemented in NeEstimator v.2.0 255 (Do et al., 2014). In accordance with the suggestions of Hoban et al. (2022), we 256 employed Pi per site as a proxy for genetic diversity, Fst as a measure of genetic 257 differentiation, F to evaluate individual inbreeding and the LD estimate of Ne to 258 259 assess the contemporary effective population size.

260 Analysis of outlier loci

261 The genomic signatures of selection were searched for with BayPass version 2.4 262 (Gautier, 2015), which accounts for the shared ancestry and population structure within the dataset by generating a covariance matrix of allele frequencies (Ω). SNPs 263 under selection were detected employing the core model with default options. The 264 identification of outliers was based on a calibration procedure of XtX values using 265 pseudo-observed datasets (PODs) of 3,500 SNPs and a 1% threshold. XtX values 266 are analogous to Fst but formally corrected by the covariance matrix. The 267 Manhattan plot showing the XtX values against SNP chromosomal positions was 268 269 generated with the CMplot package in R (Yin et al., 2021). Genes 1 Mb upstream or 270 downstream of SNPs under positive selection were considered as candidates to be associated with them. The gff3 file of the V4 version of the maize B73 reference 271 (https://www.maizegdb.org/genome/assembly/Zm-B73-REFERENCE-272 genome 273 GRAMENE-4.0) was filtered by the chromosome in which the SNP was found using

an awk command. Filters included the interval of 1 Mb up- and downstream of the
position of the outlier SNP, and the "gene" category of each feature. If available, the
annotation of each gene was considered. Otherwise, the annotation of those genes
containing outlier SNPs was inferred by similarity to genes from other species.

278 Habitat suitability modelling

The geographical distribution of the FNEA and HWNA groups was modelled with 279 MaxEnt version 3.4.4 (Phillips et al., 2006) employing historical bioclimate variables 280 281 (period 1970-2000) and elevation data. Briefly, a total of 158 geographically unique 282 records were used, 25 for FNEA and 133 for HWNA. Occurrence records include 283 geographical coordinates of the individuals used in this study and those reported 284 for other individuals from the same genetic groups by Bracco et al. (2016) (Supplementary table 6). Models were generated using 20,000 background points 285 from all over the world, using hinge features only and default regularisation 286 parameters as recommended by Bracco et al. (2016). Model performance was 287 assessed using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) for 288 289 both training and testing data sets. To account for the differences in sample sizes, 290 ten and four-fold cross-validation were employed for HNWA and FNEA, respectively, to estimate errors around fitted functions and predictive performance 291 292 on held-out data. The contribution of each variable to model improvement 293 throughout the training process (percentage of contribution) and jackknife tests 294 implemented in MaxEnt were used to determine variable relevance. The models 295 were subsequently projected to two future climate scenarios, CNRM-CM6-1 296 (Voldoire et al., 2019) and MRI-ESM2-0 (Yukimoto et al., 2019), for the period 2081-297 2100 and under four CO₂ emission scenarios (SSP5-8.5, SSP3-8.7, SSP2-4.5, SSP1-2.6). These two future climate scenario models were chosen because they are in 298 the middle zone of the high sensitivity models (CNRM-CM6-1) and in the middle 299 300 zone of the standard sensitivity models (MRI-ESM2-0) of WorldClimb. All bioclimate 301 variables and elevation data have a 2.5-minute spatial resolution and were retrieved 302 WorldClim (https://www.worldclim.org/data/cmip6/cmip6climate.html). from 303 Pairwise comparisons of model predictions were carried out by calculating the

10

304 Schoener's D (Schoener, 1968) and the I statistic (Warren et al., 2008) in ENMtools

305 1.3 (Warren et al., 2021).

306 Results

307 SNP discovery and annotation

308 Eighty-seven individuals representative of the five genetic and morphological 309 groups previously identified for northern Argentina (i.e., HNWA: Highland maize 310 from Northwestern Argentina; LNWA: Lowland maize from Western Argentina; 311 PNWA: Popcorn from Northwestern Argentina; FNEA: Floury maize from 312 Northeastern Argentina; and PNEA: Popcorn from Northeastern Argentina) were 313 sequenced through ddRADseq (Figure 1A). A total of 3,529 SNPs distributed along 314 the 10 maize chromosomes were obtained after filtering and imputation of the raw 315 data matrix (Figure 1B). Functional annotation of the SNPs indicated that only a 316 small proportion of the variants was found within exons (9.21%), with the highest 317 percentages predicted as intronic (30.64%), intergenic (20.18%), or located 318 downstream of genes (17.91%) (Figure 1C).

319 Analysis of population structure

320 Both the Neighbor-Joining tree and the PCA show two clear groups, one formed mainly by HNWA individuals and the other by FNEA individuals (Figure 2A and B). 321 322 PNWA and PNEA individuals tend to cluster together within each group but closely 323 with LNWA individuals, which occupy an intermediate position in both the network 324 and PCA biplot. Therefore, the distinction of these three groups (PNEA, LNWA, PNWA) is less clear. It is noteworthy that, among the five LNWA individuals 325 326 demonstrating a close affinity to the FNEA group, three were morphologically 327 classified as Avati morotí (Supplementary table 1), a race indigenous to the NEA 328 region.

Based on the BIC criterion, the k-means algorithm identified k=2 and k=3 as the two
most probable numbers of groups for the DAPC (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure
1). At k=3, one cluster was enriched with FNEA, another with HNWA, and a third with
individuals from every a priori group (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure 1D), whereas

at k=2, the discriminant function mostly distinguished HNWA from the remaining
individuals (Supplementary Figure 1E and F).

In agreement with the DAPC, STRUCTURE analysis with K=2 (the most probable K 335 336 according to the delta-K method) shows that one cluster is mainly made up of 337 HNWA individuals (orange), while the second cluster is made up of the rest of the 338 individuals (light blue), with PNWA receiving almost equal contributions from both 339 clusters (Figure 2D). With K=3, one group consists of HNWA individuals (orange), 340 another group consists of NEA (FNEA and PNEA) individuals (light blue), and the 341 third group consists mainly of individuals from LNWA and PNWA (pink) (Figure 2D). 342 When K=4, there are two groups formed mainly by HNWA individuals (orange) and FNEA individuals (light blue), respectively, while the pink group is formed mainly by 343 relatively admixed individuals from LNWA and PNEA (Figure 2D). In turn, PNWA 344 individuals separate into an independent group (green) (Figure 2D). Allele frequency 345 346 divergence for the inferred clusters varied from 0.0263 (light blue vs. pink) to 0.072 347 (orange vs. green) (Supplementary Table 7, K=4). Ascending in magnitude, the 348 genetic drift parameters for the pink, light blue, orange, and green clusters representing their divergence from a common hypothetical ancestor—were 0.161, 349 350 0.207, 0.362 and 0.442, respectively.

351 Collectively, these findings show that HNWA and FNEA consistently emerge as the 352 two predominant groups, implying the presence of at least two distinct 353 conservation units in Northern Argentina. Due to the limited sample sizes of PNEA 354 and PNWA, along with the apparent heterogeneity within LNWA, these groups were 355 not considered in subsequent analyses.

356 Linkage disequilibrium

Linkage disequilibrium decay was examined for each of the two main groups identified in the previous analyses (HNWA and FNEA) (Figure 3). Both average and single chromosome estimates showed a more rapid decay for HNWA than for FNEA, with r² reaching 0.1 at approximately 2.2 and 2.9 MB, respectively (Figure 3). In line with this, average r² values overall chromosomes were 0.046 for HNWA and 0.058 for FNEA.

363 Nucleotide diversity (Pi), inbreeding coefficient (F) and effective population 364 size (Ne)

Population diversity indices were estimated for the entire set of individuals (N=87), 365 366 as well as for HNWA and FNEA. Patterns of variation along chromosomes were 367 consistent across the three groups, however Pi values per site tended to be lower in 368 HNWA (average Pi per site= 0.173, Figure 4A), indicating less genetic variability than 369 in FNEA (average Pi per site=0.205). Tests of Hardy-Weinberg proportions revealed 370 that only a few SNP loci deviated from panmixia in both the HNWA and FNEA groups, as expected for outcrossing species (Supplementary Figure 2). When the total 371 372 number of individuals was considered, the proportion of loci with homozygote 373 excess rose because of population sub-structuring. For its part, estimates of 374 inbreeding coefficients based on individual heterozygosity (F_{H}) showed that consanguinity tended to be higher in FNEA than in HWNA individuals, with 375 distributions centred around F_{H} =0.25 and F_{H} =0.12, respectively (Figure 4B). 376 377 Negative F_H values imply that the parents of those individuals were less related than 378 expected under random mating, a phenomenon that may be frequently encountered in maize because of human-mediated introductions of exogenous 379 380 germplasm. In terms of effective population size, the FNEA group exhibited contemporary Ne values of 51.3, 65.2, and 65.2 individuals, depending on the MAF 381 (minimum allele frequency) thresholds of 0.05, 0.02, and 0.01, respectively (Figure 382 4C). Conversely, the HNWA group presented Ne values of 245.7, 181.1, and 143.9 383 384 for each MAF (Figure 4C).

385 Genetic differentiation and outlier loci

386 Analysis of genetic differentiation between HNWA and FNEA revealed an average 387 Fst value of 0.07. The distribution of Fst values across all chromosomes was generally uniform, although chromosomes 3, 7, and 10 displayed slightly larger 388 interguartile ranges (Figure 5A). To delve deeper into the nature and distribution of 389 390 adaptive variation, we conducted a search for outlier loci using the BayPass program, identifying 56 loci that exhibited signatures of directional selection and 391 can be potentially associated with local adaptation (Figure 5B and Supplementary 392 393 Table 8). Annotation of these SNPs revealed that the majority were located within

394 intergenic regions (Supplementary Table 8A), though no enrichment was observed for outliers in this category compared to the complete data matrix (Fisher exact test, 395 p > 0.05). Among the seven outlier SNPs located within gene bodies, we identified 396 397 candidates associated with flowering time and stress responses (Supplementary Table 8A). In addition to the outlier SNPs identified within genes, three chromosome 398 399 regions present a notable abundance of outlier SNPs. Seven of the 56 outlier SNPs, 400 representing 5 ddRAD loci, were situated within a 1 MB region proximal to the 401 centromere on chromosome 3, while two larger blocks were detected in 402 chromosomes 7 and 10 (Supplementary Table 8B). Gene models and annotations 403 within to 2 MB windows around outlier SNPs are provided in Supplementary Table 404 8. This window size was selected taking into consideration the observed extent of 405 LD.

406 Habitat suitability modelling for the HNWA and FNEA groups

407 The indication of local adaptation in the HNWA and FNEA groups implies specific 408 environmental requirements influencing their growth. To elucidate the potential 409 geographical distribution of these groups, we conducted habitat suitability analysis 410 using historical climate data and future climate models for these two groups (Figures 6 and 7). Cross-validation yielded AUC estimates exceeding 0.970 for both 411 groups, indicating the models' robust discrimination capability. Analyses based on 412 historical climate data unveiled that the potential distributions of both the FNEA 413 414 group (Figure 6A) and the HNWA group (Figure 7A) are confined to relatively small, 415 specific areas on the globe. The most relevant factors influencing the FNEA group 416 were Annual Mean Temperature (variable 1), Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter 417 (variable 11), Temperature Seasonality (variable 4) and Mean Temperature of Driest 418 Quarter (variable 9), while Isothermality (variable 3) and Temperature Seasonality 419 (variable 4) were identified as the key determinants for the HNWA group 420 (Supplementary figure 3). Pairwise comparison of D and I indices applied to habitat 421 suitability distributions between FNEA and HNWA were 0.1 and 0.4, respectively, confirming their differential geographical distribution (Supplementary Table 9A and 422 423 B). The potential geographical distribution of these two groups of maize was also 424 modelled employing two future climate scenario models, CNRM-CM6-1 (Voldoire

et al., 2019) and MRI-ESM2-0 (Yukimoto et al., 2019), for the period 2081-2100 and 425 426 under four CO₂ emission scenarios (SSP5-8.5, SSP3-8.7, SSP2-4.5, and SSP1-2.6) (Figures 6B and 7B). Pairwise comparison of D and I indices applied to habitat 427 428 suitability distributions between historical climate and future climate models were 429 on average 0.21 (D) and 0.48 (I) for FNEA and 0.19 (D) and 0.48 (I) for HNWA, indicating a shift in the geographical distribution of both groups in future climate 430 431 conditions (Supplementary Tables 9C, D, E and F, respectively). D and I indices 432 comparing future climate models within themselves were on average 0.87 (D) and 433 0.9 (I) for FNEA and 0.75 (D) and 0.84 (I) for HNWA, showing high similarity in the outcomes of the different models for each group (Supplementary Tables 10C, D, E 434 435 and F, respectively). The results of our modelling suggest that suitable areas for the 436 HNWA will significantly decrease, almost disappearing, while areas with favourable conditions for the FNEA will expand, albeit shifting towards more tropical latitudes. 437

438 Discussion

The delineation of evolutionary significant units is crucial for accurately interpreting 439 440 EBVs. A priori delimitation of the groups examined in this work was based on genetic evidence derived from microsatellite markers and further supported by plastome 441 sequences, morphological and phenological traits (Lia et al., 2009; Bracco et al., 442 443 2016; López et al., 2021; Rivas et al., 2022). However, by assessing genome-wide 444 genetic diversity, we aimed at enhancing resolution, while simultaneously exploring both neutral and adaptive variation. Consistent with the findings of Rivas et al. 445 (2022) and Bracco et al. (2016) concerning NWA, our SNP data demonstrate a clear 446 447 separation among floury landraces cultivated above 2,000 m.a.s.l. (HNWA), floury landraces cultivated below 2,000 m.a.s.l. (LNWA), and popcorn landraces (PNWA) 448 (Figure 2). While the HNWA group exhibited notable cohesion, individuals from 449 450 LNWA and PNWA displayed relatively high levels of admixture and lacked well-451 defined clusters in the multivariate analyses. Moreover, our population structure 452 results further confirmed the presence of two distinct groups in the Northeast of 453 Argentina, FNEA and PNEA, as documented by Bracco et al. (2012, 2016), with the 454 FNEA group consistently identified across various analyses (Figure 2).

455 As previously highlighted, Bracco et al. (2016) demonstrated the inclusion of HNWA maize within the Andean cluster defined by Vigouroux et al. (2008). Additionally, 456 457 PNWA maize exhibited a close affiliation with landraces from Highland Mexico and 458 Southern U.S. (Bracco et al., 2016). Conversely, FNEA and PNEA could not be linked to any other maize group within the Americas (Bracco et al., 2016). Likewise, the 459 origins and affiliations of LNWA germplasm remain uncertain, and a direct 460 comparison of this group with other lowland gene pools in South America had not 461 462 been conducted prior to the present study. The degree of admixture inferred by STRUCTURE for LNWA, coupled with its overlap with individuals from other groups 463 464 in clustering and ordination analyses (Figure 2), makes it challenging to establish 465 the origin of this germplasm or determine whether it constitutes a single 466 evolutionary unit. In the light of the most recent hypothesis on the diffusion of maize into South America (Vigouroux et al., 2008; Kistler et al., 2018), a plausible 467 explanation for the observed pattern for LNWA is that it emerged as a consequence 468 469 of secondary contact between Andean and lowland maize from eastern South 470 America during pre-Columbian times. Alternatively, it could also be attributed to recent introgression between native landraces and improved germplasm derived 471 472 from modern breeding. Indeed, the LNWA race Orgullo Cuarentón (Supplementary 473 table 1), was classified by Cámara Hernández et al. (2012) as an incipient race with contributions from varieties developed in Argentina in the mid-1960s. It thus 474 appears that further work in a global context is still needed to unveil the origin of 475 476 LNWA.

477 In summary, guided by the outcomes of our population structure analyses, we 478 concentrated on HNWA and FNEA to estimate EBVs and evaluate the conservation 479 prospects of these two groups. Effective population size stands as a pivotal 480 parameter in conservation genetics, as it governs the pace of allelic frequency 481 changes due to genetic drift and informs on future levels of diversity (Hoban et al., 482 2022). Consequently, it is intricately associated with inbreeding and the depletion 483 of genetic variation, in both neutral and adaptive loci (Allendorf et al., 2013). The 484 contemporary Ne can be estimated using genetic data from a single sample 485 ("population") by calculating LD between loci (Waples and Do, 2010). Higher LD values signify smaller Nes, which could in turn imply that beneficial alleles are in 486

487 linkage disequilibrium with deleterious ones, thereby potentially diminishing their positive effect on adaptation (Hoffmann et al., 2017). The observed extent of LD in 488 FNEA and HNWA, 2.9 and 2.2 Mb, respectively (Figure 3), largely surpasses 489 490 estimates previously reported for maize landraces (6.3 - 30 Kb; Hufford et al., 2013; 491 McLean-Rodriguez et al., 2021) and teosintes (Zea mays mexicana: 50 Kb, Zea mays 492 parviglumis: 10-22 Kb; Chen et al., 2022) but aligns closely with that of wheat 493 landraces (3.6 Mb; Ma et al., 2022). In maize hybrids, LD blocks can average 28 Mb 494 (Chaikam et al., 2019), while in rice hybrids, this figure can reach up to 75 Mb 495 (Pradhan et al., 2020). The variations in the extent of LD between FNEA and HNWA 496 result in a noticeable disparity in Ne, with estimated figures hovering around 50 497 individuals for FNEA and 200 individuals for HNWA (Figure 4C). Assessing the 498 influence of methodological and/or biological factors, identified as potential 499 distortions to Ne inferences based on LD, such as sampling, gene flow, or admixture 500 (Gargiulo et al., 2023), poses challenges for our dataset. This complexity arises from 501 the "populations" under scrutiny being somewhat abstract entities that represent 502 diverse gene pools with dispersed geographical distributions. Nevertheless, 503 although they should be taken with caution, these estimates offer a useful 504 framework for interpreting the remaining EBVs and provide guidance for 505 management actions. Consistent with a reduced Ne, individuals in the FNEA population demonstrate elevated inbreeding coefficients (F) (Figure 4B), rendering 506 them more susceptible to inbreeding depression. This phenomenon, alongside its 507 508 counterpart, heterosis, has proven to be notably significant in maize, as elevated F 509 values have been associated with considerable yield reductions (Roff, 1997). 510 Remarkably, genetic diversity estimates were found to be higher for FNEA 511 compared to HNWA (Figure 4A), a result that might appear unexpected considering 512 the differences in contemporary Ne. This discrepancy suggests that FNEA 513 underwent a relatively recent bottleneck originating from an ancestral population 514 that likely possessed greater diversity than HNWA. Changes in heterozygosity are 515 not immediately evident following a reduction in population size (Keyghobadi et al., 516 2005; Lowe et al., 2005; Hoban et al., 2022). Conversely, the reduced variability 517 observed in HNWA is consistent with the limited genetic diversity previously 518 reported for the Andean group as a whole and is likely a consequence of the founder 519 effect that led to the formation of this lineage (Vigouroux et al., 2008; Takuno et al., 2015; Bracco et al., 2016). It is noteworthy that both FNEA and HNWA, as well as the 520 overall genome-wide diversity indices derived from this study, exhibit values at the 521 522 lower spectrum of estimates reported for a diverse array of landraces and teosintes (Hufford et al., 2013; Rivera-Rodriguez et al., 2023), underscoring the vulnerability 523 524 inherent in these groups. According to the estimates of Franklin (1980) and Soulé (1980) for natural populations of outbreeding species, a population should maintain 525 526 a Ne of at least 50 individuals to avoid inbreeding depression in the short term. To minimise the impact of genetic drift and retain evolutionary potential, the Ne should 527 528 surpass 500 individuals. Although specific Ne thresholds for cultivated plants 529 remain undetermined, and annual species such as maize may tolerate lower Ne, 530 the conjunction of high F and low Ne for FNEA suggests an elevated susceptibility to fitness and variability reductions (Hoffmann et al., 2017; Gaitán-Espitia and 531 Hobday, 2021; Hoban et al., 2022). On the other hand, despite lower F values and 532 533 higher Ne estimates for HNWA, this group may also encounter challenges in 534 adapting to climate change, as indicated by low nucleotide diversity and Ne values 535 below the recommended threshold of 500 individuals.

536 Divergence between populations, as measured by Fst indices, can account for the 537 distinctiveness of each gene pool. The genome-wide Fst estimate for the HNWA-FNEA pair (Fst=0.07; Figure 5A) exceeded the values reported by Takuno et al. (2015) 538 in their study comparing highland and lowland maize landraces from Meso- and 539 540 South America (Fst=0.024 and 0.047). This higher Fst value suggests a more 541 pronounced differentiation in allele frequencies between the highland and lowland 542 germplasm of southern South America. This divergence can be attributed to smaller 543 Ne, or more limited gene flow within the region.

It has been proposed that genetic variation of adaptive significance serves as a more reliable predictor of the long-term success of populations compared to overall genetic variation (Hoffmann et al., 2017; Kardos et al., 2021). To quantify adaptive differences, outlier detection methods come into play by identifying loci characterised by high genetic differentiation relative to the overall population structure, indicative of their likely involvement in divergent selection. The identification of selection signatures at multiple SNPs in the comparative analysis between HNWA and FNEA (Figure 5B), coupled with compelling evidence of local
adaptation within Mexican and other South American maize landraces (Gates et al.,
2019; McLean-Rodríguez et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Janzen et al., 2022),
suggests that these two groups exhibit signs of local adaptation.

555 Several studies have identified a correlation between flowering time or 556 anthesis/silking interval and local adaptation in maize landraces (Mercer and 557 Perales, 2019; Gates et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021; Janzen et al., 2022; McLean-558 Rodriguez et al., 2021). The modification of flowering time through domestication has been crucial for extending the adaptability of various crops to diverse latitudes, 559 560 a phenomenon also observed in wheat, barley, and rice (Nakamichi, 2015). In this 561 study, two genes associated with flowering stand out among those containing 562 outlier SNPs (Supplementary Table 8A). The first one, Zm00001d014690, known as 563 Arftf35 (ARF-transcription factor 35), encodes a protein involved in auxin-related 564 axillary meristem formation in maize inflorescences (Galli et al., 2015; Galli et al., 565 2018). The second gene, Zm00001d015765, is an ortholog of Arabidopsis AtSWC4, 566 which suppresses the expression of FT (florigen) and accelerates flowering time 567 when knocked down (Gómez-Zambrano et al., 2018). Additionally, three outlier 568 SNPs were found within genes whose expression is modified under stress 569 conditions (Supplementary table 8A): the gene Zm00001d020497, identified as cipk28 (calcineurin B-like-interacting protein kinase28), has been observed to 570 exhibit responses to both salt and drought stresses (Chen et al., 2013; Feng et al., 571 572 2022). Similarly, Zm00001d047587 encodes а glucose-6-phosphate 573 dehydrogenase (G6PDH3) and has demonstrated induction under osmotic and cold 574 stress (Li et al., 2023). Furthermore, Zm00001d025651, orthologous to the 575 Arabidopsis poly(A)-specific ribonuclease AtPARN, is implicated in a mRNA 576 degradation system crucial to ABA, salicylic acid, and stress responses in 577 Arabidopsis (Nishimura et al., 2005). These findings align with the concept that 578 locally adapted landraces typically grow in marginal and stressful environments. 579 Consequently, their adaptation may involve stress-related genes that contribute to fitness trade-offs (Corrado and Rao, 2017; VanWallendael et al., 2019). 580

581 Recent comparisons of genomic responses to selection have shown the 582 participation of large haplotype blocks in population adaptation to new

19

583 environmental conditions (Hoffmann et al., 2017). In this study, besides identifying outlier SNPs within genes, three chromosomal regions have emerged as potentially 584 585 involved in local adaptation (Supplementary table 8B). The first spans positions 586 96,799,426 to 97,851,477 on chromosome 3. Structural variation analysis among the founders of the maize Nested Association Mapping (NAM) population revealed 587 a large inversion encompassing this region, present in the inbred lines P39 and 588 589 Oh43 (Hufford et al., 2021). Notably, this region has previously been associated with 590 flowering time determination in both landraces (Navarro et al., 2017) and the NAM population (Buckler et al., 2009). Chromosomal inversions with adaptive 591 592 significance may harbour genes influencing multiple traits (Huang and Rieseberg, 593 2020). Indeed, on chromosome 3, this region includes the ys3 gene (Zm00001d041111, GRMZM2G063306), which has been shown to be under 594 595 selection in Z. mays ssp. parviglumis (Aguirre-Liguori et al., 2017), and involved in 596 iron homeostasis (Xu et al., 2022; Nozoye et al., 2013), a trait potentially important 597 in the distinctive lateritic, iron-rich, red soils of NEA (Píccolo et al., 1998). 598 Furthermore, the regions identified on chromosomes 7 and 10 (Supplementary table 8B) overlap with genomic tracts of Z. mays ssp. mexicana introgression into 599 600 maize, previously associated with highland adaptation (Hufford et al., 2013, Calfee 601 et al., 2021).

The distribution of genetic diversity is significantly influenced by geographic and 602 climatic features, and the increasingly dynamic environmental conditions present 603 604 a substantial threat to locally adapted germplasm. The potential distribution of the 605 HNWA and FNEA groups under historical climatic conditions (Figures 6A and 7A) is 606 in line with the limited distribution previously observed by Bracco et al. (2016). 607 Utilising future climate scenarios in distribution models unveils potential risks to 608 the persistence of these maize groups, particularly of HNWA (Figures 6B and 7B). 609 As highland maize, HNWA faces greater environmental restrictions (Figure 7B), akin 610 to predictions made for high-altitude teosintes (Ureta et al., 2012; Sanchez 611 González et al., 2018; Aguirre-Liguori et al., 2019). The FNEA group, on the other hand, shows a projected displacement of suitable areas to other regions worldwide 612 613 (Figure 6B). Range shifts due to climate change have been well-documented for numerous wild species (Wiens, 2016). For cultivated species like maize, the 614

anticipated lack of suitable future climatic conditions in their regions of origin also
poses a threat to the well-being of local communities. These findings underscore
the importance of expanding research on how maize landraces will respond to
climate change, incorporating not only local adaptation as a study variable but also
considering plasticity.

620

621 Conclusions

622 The genetic diversity of species allows them to adapt to environmental changes, 623 evolve, avoid inbreeding depression, maintain fitness in their original environments 624 and give rise to new species (Hoban et al., 2022). Assessing this diversity through various population genetics metrics, collectively termed EBVs by Hoban et al. 625 626 (2022), provides insights into the status and trends of genetic variability. Our 627 findings emphasise the necessity of treating FNEA and HNWA as distinct 628 conservation units, highlighting an imminent risk of genetic diversity loss among 629 maize landraces in northern Argentina. This concern is underscored by the low Ne 630 values and elevated inbreeding coefficients observed in the FNEA group, coupled with low Ne values and diminished nucleotide diversity in the HNWA group. These 631 632 indicators point towards ongoing or potential genetic erosion, constraining the 633 adaptability of landraces to environmental variations. The swift pace of climate 634 change poses an additional challenge, potentially hindering the evolution of these locally adapted landraces within their native environments (Aitken and Whitlock, 635 636 2013; Gaitán-Espitia and Hobday, 2021). Furthermore, species distribution 637 modelling under future climate scenarios predicts a noticeable reduction in 638 suitable cultivation areas. In conclusion, our results suggest that the long-term 639 conservation of HNWA and FNEA landraces is jeopardised by the dual threats of 640 genetic erosion and climate change. Therefore, it is imperative to promote their conservation both in situ and ex situ and expand the study of their plasticity and 641 642 local adaptation to enhance our understanding of their environmental responses.

- 643
- 644
- 645

646 **Grant information**

647 This research was funded by the Fondo para la Investigación Científica y

648 Tecnológica (FONCYT, https://www.argentina.gob.ar/ciencia/agencia/fondo-para-

649 la-investigacion-cientifica-y-tecnologica-foncyt), grants PICT 2013-0838, PICT

650 2016-1101, and PICT 2021-1286, and the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología

Agropecuaria (INTA, https://www.argentina.gob.ar/inta), grant 2023-PD-L01-I085.

652

653 **Competing interests**

- The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
- 655

656 **References**

Aguirre-Liguori, J.A., Gaut, B.S., Jaramillo-Correa, J.P., Tenaillon, M.I., MontesHernández, S., García-Oliva, F., Hearne, S.J., & Eguiarte, L.E. (2019). Divergence
with gene flow is driven by local adaptation to temperature and soil phosphorus
concentration in teosinte subspecies (Zea mays parviglumis and Zea mays
mexicana). *Molecular Ecology*, 28, 2814–2830.

Aguirre, N., Filippi, C., Zaina, G., Rivas, J., Acuña, C., Villalba, P., García, M.,
González, S., Rivarola, M., Martínez, M., Puebla, A., Morgante, M., Hopp, H.,
Paniego, N., & Marcucci-Poltri, S. (2019). Optimizing ddRADseq in Non-Model
Species: A Case Study in Eucalyptus dunnii Maiden. *Agronomy*, 9(9), 484.
https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9090484

Aitken, S.N., & Whitlock, M.C. (2013). Assisted Gene Flow to Facilitate Local
Adaptation to Climate Change. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 44, 367–388.

Allendorf, F., Luikart, G., & Aitken, S. (2013). Effective population size. In: John Wiley
& Sons (Eds.), Conservation and the Genetics of Populations (pp. 117-136). USA:
Wiley-Blackwell.

Bracco, M., Lia, V.V., Cámara Hernández, J., Poggio, L., & Gottlieb, A.M. (2012).
Genetic diversity of maize landraces from lowland and highland agro-ecosystems
of Southern South America: Implications for the conservation of native resources. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 160, 308–21.

Bracco, M., Cascales, J., Hernández, J.C., Poggio, L., Gottlieb, A.M., & Lia, V.V.
(2016). Dissecting maize diversity in lowland South America: Genetic structure and
geographic distribution models. *BMC Plant Biology*, 16(1), 186. doi:
10.1186/s12870-016-0874-5

Bradbury, P.J., Zhang, Z., Kroon, D.E., Casstevens, T.M., Ramdoss, Y., & Buckler,
E.S. (2007). TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse
samples. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 2633–2635.

- Browning, B.L., Zhou, Y., & Browning, S.R. (2018). A One-Penny Imputed Genome
 from Next-Generation Reference Panels. *American journal of human genetics*, 103,
 338–348.
- Brummitt, N., Regan, E., Weatherdon, L. V., Martin, C., Geijzendorffer, I. R.,
 Rocchini, D., Gavish, Y., Haase, P., Marsh, C. J., & Schmeller, D. S. (2017). Taking
 stock of nature: essential biodiversity variables explained. *Biological Conservation*,
 213, 252–255.
- Buckler, E.S., Holland, J.B., Bradbury, P.J., Acharya, C.B., Brown, P.J., Browne, C.,
 Ersoz, E., Flint-Garcia, S., Garcia, A., Glaubitz, J.C., Goodman, M.M., Harjes, C.,
 Guill, K., Kroon, D.E., Larsson, S., Lepak, N.K., Li, H., Mitchell, S.E., Pressoir, G.,
 Peiffer, J.A., Oropeza Rosas, M., Rocheford, T.R., Romay, M.C., Romero, S., Salvo,
 S., Sánchez Villeda, H., da Silva, H.S., Sun, Q., Tian, F., Upadyayula, N., Ware, D.,
 Yates, H., Yu, J., Zhang, Z., Kresovich, S., & McMullen, M.D. (2009). The genetic
 architecture of maize flowering time. *Science*, 325, 714–718.
- Cámara-Hernández, J., Miante-Alzogaray, A., Bellón, R., & Galmarini, A. (2012).
 Razas de maíz nativas de la Argentina. Buenos Aires: Editorial Facultad de
 Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires.
- Cang, F.A., Wilson, A.A., & Wiens, J.J. (2016). Climate change is projected to
 outpace rates of niche change in grasses. *Biology Letters*, 12(9), 20160368. doi:
 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0368.
- Calfee, E., Gates, D., Lorant, A., Taylor Perkins, M., Coop, G., & Ross-Ibarra, J.
 (2021). Selective sorting of ancestral introgression in maize and teosinte along an
 elevational cline. *Plos Genetics*, 17(10), e1009810.
- Casañas, F., Simó, J., Casals, J., Prohens, J., & Bradshaw, J.E. (2017). Toward an
 Evolved Concept of Landrace. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 145. doi:
 10.3389/fpls.2017.00145.
- Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P.A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W.A. (2013).
 Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 3124–
 3140.
- Chaikam, V., Gowda, M., Nair, S.K., Melchinger, A.E., & Boddupalli, P.M. (2019).
 Genome-wide association study to identify genomic regions influencing
 spontaneous fertility in maize haploids. *Euphytica*, 215, 1–14.
- Chen, L., Luo, J., Jin, M., Yang, N., Liu, X., Peng, Y., Li, W., Phillips, A., Cameron, B.,
 Bernal, J.S., Rellán-Álvarez, R. Sawers, R.J.H., Liu, Q., Yin, Y., Ye, X., Yan, J., Zhang,
 Q., Zhang, X., Wu, S., Gui, S., Wei, W., Wang, Y., Luo, Y., Jiang, C., Deng, M., Jin, M.,
 Jian, L., Yu, Y., Zhang, M., Yang, X., Hufford, M.B., Fernie, A.R., Warburton, M.L.,
 Ross-Ibarra, J., & Yan, J. (2022). Genome sequencing reveals evidence of adaptive
 variation in the genus Zea. *Nature Genetics*, 54, 1736–1745.
- Chen, L., Wang, Q.Q., Zhou, L., Ren, F., Li, D.D., & Li, X.B. (2013). Arabidopsis CBLinteracting protein kinase (CIPK6) is involved in plant response to salt/osmotic
 stress and ABA. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 40, 4759–4767.

724 Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L.L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S.J., Lu,

- X., & Ruden, D.M. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of
 single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila
 melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly*, 6, 80–92.
- Corrado, G., & Rao, R. (2017). Towards the genomic basis of local adaptation in landraces. *Diversity*, 9, 51. https://doi.org/10.3390/d9040051
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A.,
 Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., McVean, G., & Durbin, R.
 (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 2156–2158.
- Dellaporta, S.L., Wood, J., & Hicks, J.B. (1983). A plant DNA minipreparation:
 Version II. Plant *Molecular Biology Reporter*, 1, 19–21.
- Do, C., Waples, R.S., Peel, D., Macbeth, G.M., Tillett, B.J., & Ovenden, J.R. (2014).
 NeEstimator v2: re-implementation of software for the estimation of contemporary
 effective population size (Ne) from genetic data. *Molecular ecology resources*, 14,
 209–214.
- Dwivedi, S.L., Ceccarelli, S., Blair, M.W., Upadhyaya, H.D., Are, A.K., & Ortiz, R.
 (2016). Landrace Germplasm for Improving Yield and Abiotic Stress Adaptation. *Trends in Plant Science*, 21, 31–42.
- Earl, D., & vonHoldt, B.M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program
 for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 4, 359–361.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of
 individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular ecology*,
 14, 2611–2620.
- Feng, X., Meng, Q., Zeng, J., Yu, Q., Xu, D., Dai, X., Ge, L., Ma, W., & Liu, W. (2022).
 Genome-wide identification of sucrose non-fermenting-1-related protein kinase
 genes in maize and their responses to abiotic stresses. *Frontiers in Plant Science*,
 13, 1–13.
- Franklin I. (1980). Evolutionary Changes in Small Populations. In: M. Soulé & B.
 Wilcox (Eds.), Conservation Biology: An Evolutionary-Ecological Perspective (pp.
 135-149). Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.
- Gaitán-Espitia, J.D., & Hobday, A.J. (2021). Evolutionary principles and genetic
 considerations for guiding conservation interventions under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 27, 475–488.
- Galli, M., Khakhar, A., Lu, Z., Chen, Z., Sen, S., Joshi, T., Nemhauser, J.L., Schmitz,
 R.J., & Gallavotti, A. (2018). The DNA binding landscape of the maize AUXIN
 RESPONSE FACTOR family. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 4526. doi:
 10.1038/s41467-018-06977-6.
- Galli, M., Liu, Q., Moss, B.L., Malcomber, S., Li, W., Gaines, C., Federici, S.,
 Roshkovan, J., Meeley, R., Nemhauser, J.L., & Gallavotti, A. (2015). Auxin signaling

modules regulate maize inflorescence architecture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112, 13372–13377.

Gargiulo, R., Decroocq, V., González-Martínez, S.C., Paz-Vinas, I., Aury, J.M., Lesur
Kupin, I., Plomion, C., Schmitt, S., Scotti, I., & Heuertz, M. (2023). Estimation of
contemporary effective population size in plant populations: limitations of genomic
datasets. *bioRxiv* doi:10.1101/2023.07.18.549323

Gates, D.J., Runcie, D., Janzen, G.M., Romero Navarro, A., Willcox, M., Sonder, K.,
Snodgrass, S.J., Rodríguez-Zapata, F., Sawers, R.J.H., Rellán-Álvarez, R., Buckler,
E.S., Hearne, S., Hufford, M.B., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2019). Single-gene resolution of

- locally adaptive genetic variation in Mexican maize. *bioRxiv* doi: 10.1101/706739
- Gautier M. (2015). Genome-wide scan for adaptive divergence and association with
 population-specific covariates. *Genetics*, 201, 1555–1579.

Gómez-Zambrano, Á., Crevillén, P., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., López, J.A., MorenoRomero, J., Roszak, P., Santos-González, J., Jurado, S., Vázquez, J., Köhler, C.,
Solano, R., Piñeiro, M., & Jarillo, J.A. (2018). Arabidopsis SWC4 Binds DNA and
Recruits the SWR1 Complex to Modulate Histone H2A.Z Deposition at Key
Regulatory Genes. *Molecular Plant*, 11, 815–832.

- Gupta, C., Salgotra, R.K., & Mahajan, G. (2020). Future Threats and Opportunities
 Facing Crop Wild Relatives and Landrace Diversity. In: Salgotra, R., Zargar, S. (Eds.),
 Rediscovery of Genetic and Genomic Resources for Future Food Security.
 Singapore: Springer.
- Heck, M.I., Defacio, R.A., Ferrer, M.E., Cirilo, A.G., Fariza, S.I., De Lucia, A.D., &
 Blaszchik, J.A. (2020). Evaluación de la variabilidad agromorfológica de
 poblaciones nativas de maíz de Misiones, Argentina. *RECyT*, 33, 6–13.
- Hill, W.G., & Weir, B.S. (1988). Variances and covariances of squared linkage
 disequilibria in finite populations. *Theoretical population biology*, 33, 54–78.
- Hoban, S., Archer, F.I., Bertola, L.D., Bragg, J.G., Breed, M.F., Bruford, M.W.,
 Coleman, M.A., Ekblom, R., Funk, W.C., Grueber, C.E., Hand, B.K., Jaffé, R., Jensen,
 E., Johnson, J.S., Kershaw, F., Liggins, L., Macdonald, A.J., Mergeay, J., Potter, K.M.,
 Razgour, O., & Vernesi, C. (2022). Global genetic diversity status and trends:
 towards a suite of Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) for genetic composition. *Biological Reviews*, 97, 1511–1538.
- Hoffmann, A.A., Sgrò, C.M., & Kristensen, T.N. (2017). Revisiting Adaptive Potential,
 Population Size, and Conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 32(7), 506-551.
- Huang, K., & Rieseberg, L.H. (2020). Frequency, Origins, and Evolutionary Role of
 Chromosomal Inversions in Plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 296. doi:
 10.3389/fpls.2020.00296.
- Hufford, M.B., Xu, X., van Heerwaarden, J., Pyhäjärvi, T., Chia, J.M., Cartwright, R.A.,
 Elshire, R.J., Glaubitz, J.C., Guill, K.E., Kaeppler, S.M., Lai, J., Morrell, P.L., Shannon,
 L.M., Song, C., Springer, N.M., Swanson-Wagner, R.A., Tiffin, P., Wang, J., Zhang, G.,
 Doebley, J., McMullen, M.D., Ware, D., Buckler, E.S., Yang, S., & Ross-Ibarra, J.

805 (2012). Comparative population genomics of maize domestication and 806 improvement. *Nature Genetics*, 44, 808–811.

Hufford, M.B., Lubinksy, P., Pyhäjärvi, T., Devengenzo, M.T., Ellstrand, N.C., & RossIbarra. J. (2013). The Genomic Signature of Crop-Wild Introgression in Maize. *PLoS Genetics*, 9(5), e1003477. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003477

810 Hufford, M.B., Seetharam, A.S., Woodhouse, M.R., Chougule, K.M., Ou, S., Liu, J., 811 Ricci, W.A., Guo, T., Olson, A., Qiu, Y., Coletta, R.D., Tittes, S., Hudson, A.I., Marand, 812 A.P., Wei, S., Lu, Z., Wang, B., Tello-Ruiz, M.K., Piri, R.D., Wang, N., Kim, D.W., Zeng, 813 Y., O'Connor, C.H., Li, X., Gilbert, A.M., Baggs, E., Krasileva, K.V., Portwood, J.L., Cannon, E.K.S., Andorf, C.M., Manchanda, N., Snodgrass, S.J., Hufnagel, D.E., 814 Jiang, Q., Pedersen, S., Syring, M.L., Kudrna, D.A., Llaca, V., Fengler, K., Schmitz, 815 816 R.J., Ross-Ibarra, J., Yu, J., Gent, J.I., Hirsch, C.N., Ware, D., & Dawe, R. K. (2021). 817 De novo assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of 26 diverse maize 818 genomes. Science, 373, 655-662.

Janzen, G.M., Aguilar-Rangel, M.R., Cíntora-Martínez, C., Blöcher-Juárez, K.A.,
González-Segovia, E., Studer, A.J., Runcie, D.E., Flint-Garcia, S.A., Rellán-Álvarez,
R., Sawers, R.J.H., & Hufford, M.B. (2022). Demonstration of local adaptation in
maize landraces by reciprocal transplantation. *Evolutionary Applications*, 15, 817–
837.

Jombart, T. (2008). Adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*, 24, 1403–1405.

Kardos, M., Armstrong, E.E., Fitzpatrick, S.W., Hauser, S., Hedrick, P.W., Miller,
J.M., Tallmon, D.A., & Funk, W.C. (2021). The crucial role of genome-wide genetic
variation in conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(48), e2104642118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2104642118.

Keyghobadi, N., Roland, J., Matter, S.F., & Strobeck, C. (2005). Among- and withinpatch components of genetic diversity respond at different rates to habitat
fragmentation: An empirical demonstration. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272, 553–560.

Kistler, L., Maezumi, S.Y., De Souza, J.G., Przelomska, N.A.S., Malaquias Costa, F.,
Smith, O., Loiselle, H., Ramos-Madrigal, J., Wales, N., Ribeiro, E.R., Morrison, R.R.,
Grimaldo, C., Prous, A.P., Arriaza, B., Gilbert, M.T.P., De Oliveira Freitas, F., &
Allaby, R.G. (2018). Multiproxy evidence highlights a complex evolutionary legacy of
maize in South America. *Science*, 362, 1309–1313.

Lange, V., Böhme, I., Hofmann, J., Lang, K., Sauter, J., Schöne, B., Paul, P., Albrecht,
V., Andreas, J.M., Baier, D.M., Nething, J., Ehninger, U., Schwarzelt, C., Pingel, J.,
Ehninger, G., & Schmidt, A.H. (2014). Cost-efficient high-throughput HLA typing by
MiSeq amplicon sequencing. *BMC Genomics*, 15, 63. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-1563.

Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, 9, 357–359.

Li, X., Cai, Q., Yu, T., Li, S., Li, S., Li, Y., Sun, Y., Ren, H., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y., Zhang,
J., & Zuo, Y. (2023). ZmG6PDH1 in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase family
enhances cold stress tolerance in maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1116237.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1116237.

Lia, V.V., Poggio, L., & Confalonieri, V.A. (2009). Microsatellite variation in maize landraces from Northwestern Argentina: Genetic diversity, population structure and racial affiliations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 119, 1053–1067.

López, M.G., Fass, M., Rivas, J.G., Carbonell-Caballero, J., Vera, P., Puebla, A., Defacio, R., Dopazo, J., Paniego, N., Hopp, H.E., & Lia, V.V. (2021). Plastome genomics in South American maize landraces: chloroplast lineages parallel the geographic structuring of nuclear gene pools. *Annals of Botany*, 128(1), 115-125.

Lowe, A.J., Boshier, D., Ward, M., Bacles, C.F.E., & Navarro, C. (2005). Genetic resource impacts of habitat loss and degradation; reconciling empirical evidence and predicted theory for neotropical trees. *Heredity*, 95, 255–273.

Ma, J., Zhao, D., Tang, X., Yuan, M., Zhang, D., Xu, M., Duan, Y., Ren, H., Zeng, Q.,
Wu, J., Han, D., Li, T., & Jiang, L. (2022). Genome-Wide Association Study on Root
System Architecture and Identification of Candidate Genes in Wheat (Triticum
aestivum L.). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(3), 1843. doi:
10.3390/ijms23031843.

Marroni, F., Pinosio, S., Zaina, G., Fogolari, F., Felice, N., Cattonaro, F., & Morgante,
M. (2011). Nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium in Populus nigra cinnamyl
alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD4) gene. *Tree Genetics and Genomes*, 7, 1011–1023.

McLean-Rodríguez, F.D., Costich, D.E., Camacho-Villa, T.C., Pè, M.E., &
Dell'Acqua, M. (2021). Genetic diversity and selection signatures in maize
landraces compared across 50 years of in situ and ex situ conservation. *Heredity*,
126, 913–928.

Melchiorre, P., Bartoloni, N., & Hernández, J.C. (2017). Relaciones fenéticas entre
razas de maíz (Zea mays ssp. mays) nativas de la provincia de Jujuy (Argentina). *Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica*, 52, 717–735.

Mercer, K., Martínez-Vásquez, Á., & Perales, H.R. (2008). Asymmetrical local
adaptation of maize landraces along an altitudinal gradient. *Evolutionary Applications*, 1, 489–500.

Mercer, K.L., & Perales, H. (2019). Structure of local adaptation across the
landscape: flowering time and fitness in Mexican maize (*Zea mays* L. subsp. *mays*)
landraces. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 66, 27–45.

Nakamichi, N. (2015). Adaptation to the local environment by modifications of the
photoperiod response in crops. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 56, 594–604.

Navarro, L. M., Fernandez, N., Guerra, C., Guralnick, R., Kissling, W. D., Londoño,
M. C., Muller-Karger, F., Turak, E., Balvanera, P., Costello, M. J., Delavaud, A., El
Serafy, G. Y., Ferrier, S., Geijzendorffer, I., Geller, G. N., et al. (2017). Monitoring

biodiversity change through effective global coordination. Current Opinion in
 Environmental Sustainability, 29, 158–169.

Nishimura, N., Kitahata, N., Seki, M., Narusaka, Y., Narusaka, M., Kuromori, T.,
Asami, T., Shinozaki, K., & Hirayama, T. (2005). Analysis of ABA Hypersensitive
Germination2 revealed the pivotal functions of PARN in stress response in
Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal*, 44, 972–984.

Nozoye, T., Nakanishi, H., & Nishizawa, N.K. (2013). Characterizing the Crucial
Components of Iron Homeostasis in the Maize Mutants ys1 and ys3. *PLoS ONE*,
8(5), e62567. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062567

Pereira, H. M., Ferrier, S., Walters, M., Geller, G. N., Jongman, R. H. G., Scholes, R.
J., Bruford, M. W., Brummitt, N., Butchart, S. H. M., Cardoso, A. C., Coops, N. C.,
Dulloo, E., Faith, D. P., Freyhof, J., Gregory, R. D., et al. (2013). Essential biodiversity
variables. *Science*, 339, 277–278.

Peterson, G.W., Dong, Y., Horbach, C., & Fu, Y.B. (2014). Genotyping-bysequencing for plant genetic diversity analysis: A lab guide for SNP genotyping. *Diversity*, 6, 665–680.

Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., & Schapire, R.E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling
of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190, 231–259.

Píccolo, G., Rosell, R., Galantini, J., & Miglierina, A. (1998). Organic matter turnover
in a lateritic soil (Misiones, Argentine): II. Changes in particulate and humified
organic matter. *Agricultura Técnica (Chile)*, 58, 142–150.

Pradhan, S.K., Pandit, E., Pawar, S., Naveenkumar, R., Barik, S.R., Mohanty, S.P.,
Nayak, D.K., Ghritlahre, S.K., Sanjiba Rao, D., Reddy, J.N., & Patnaik, S.S.C. (2020).
Linkage disequilibrium mapping for grain Fe and Zn enhancing QTLs useful for
nutrient dense rice breeding. *BMC Plant Biology*, 20(1), 57. doi: 10.1186/s12870020-2262-4.

Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population
structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155, 945–959.

Rivas, J.G., Gutiérrez, A.V., Defacio, R.A., Schimpf, J., Vicario, A.L., Hopp, H.E.,
Paniego, N.B., & Lia, V.V. (2022). Morphological and genetic diversity of maize
landraces along an altitudinal gradient in the Southern Andes. *Plos ONE*, 17(12),
e0271424. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0271424.

918 Rivera-Rodríguez, D.M., Mastretta-Yanes, A., Wegier, A., De la Cruz Larios, L., 919 Santacruz-Ruvalcaba, F., Corral, J.A.R., Hernández, B., & Sánchez González, D.J.J. 920 (2023). Genomic diversity and population structure of teosinte (Zea spp.) and its 921 conservation implications. PLoS ONE, 18(10), e0291944. doi: 922 10.1371/journal.pone.0291944.

Realini, M. F., Poggio, L., Cámara Hernández, J., & González, G. E. (2018). Exploring
karyotype diversity of Argentinian Guaraní maize landraces: relationship among
South American maize. *PLoS One*, 13(6), e0198398.

826 Roff, D. (1997). Evolutionary Quantitative Genetics. New York: Springer.

Romero Navarro, J.A., Willcox, M., Burgueño, J., Romay, C., Swarts, K., Trachsel, S.,
Preciado, E., Terron, A., Vallejo Delgado, H., Vidal, V., Ortega, A., Espinoza Banda,
A. Gómez Montiel, N.O., Ortiz-Monasterio, I., San Vicente, F., Guadarrama
Espinoza, A., Atlin, G., Wenzl, P., Hearne, S., & Buckler, E.S. (2017). A study of allelic
diversity underlying flowering-time adaptation in maize landraces. *Nature Genetics*,
49, 476–480.

Sánchez González, J.D.J., Corral, J.A.R, García, G.M., Ojeda, G.R., De La Cruz Larios,
L., Holland, J.B., Medrano, R.M., & Romero, G.E.G. (2018). *Ecogeography of teosinte*. *PLoS ONE*, 13(2), e0192676. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192676

936 Savolainen, O., Lascoux, M., Merilä, J. (2013). Ecological genomics of local 937 adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 14, 807–820.

Schmeller, D. S., Mihoub, J. B., Bowser, A., Arvanitidis, C., Costello, M. J.,
Fernandez, M. J., Geller, G. N., Hobern, D., Kissling, W. D., Regan, E. C., Saarenmaa,
H., Turak, E. & Isaac, N. J. B. (2017). An operational definition of essential
biodiversity variables. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26, 2967–2972.

Schoener, T.W. (1968). The Anolis lizards of Bimini: Resource partitioning in a complex fauna. *Ecology*, 49(4), 704-726.

Smith, J.S., Gardner, C.A.C., & Costich, D.E. Ensuring the genetic diversity of maize
and its wild relatives. In: Watson D. (Ed.), Achieving sustainable cultivation of maize
Volume 1 (pp. 3-50). Cambridge: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited.

Soulé, M. (1980). Thresholds for Survival: Maintaining Fitness and Evolutionary
Potential. In: M. Soulé & B. Wilcox (Eds.), Conservation Biology: An EvolutionaryEcological Perspective (pp. 151-170). Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.

Takuno, S., Ralph, P., Swart, K., Elshire, R.J., Glaubitz, J.C., Buckler, E.S., Hufford,
M.B., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2015). Independent molecular basis of convergent highland
adaptation in maize. *Genetics*, 200, 1297–1312.

Tenaillon, M. I., & Charcosset, A. (2011). A European perspective on maize
history. *Comptes rendus biologies*, 334(3), 221-228.

Tigchelaar, M., Battisti, D.S., Naylor, R.L., & Ray, D.K. (2018). Future warming
increases probability of globally synchronized maize production shocks. *PNAS*,
115, 6644–6649.

Ureta, C., Martínez-Meyer, E., Perales, H.R., & Álvarez-Buylla, E.R. (2012).
Projecting the effects of climate change on the distribution of maize races and their
wild relatives in Mexico. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 1073–1082.

VanWallendael, A., Soltani, A., Emery, N.C., Peixoto, M.M., Olsen, J., & Lowry, D.B.
(2019). A Molecular View of Plant Local Adaptation: Incorporating Stress-Response
Networks. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 70, 559–583.

Vigouroux, Y., Glaubitz, J.C., Matsuoka, Y., Goodman, M.M., Sánchez, J.G., &
Doebley, J. (2008). Population structure and genetic diversity of New World maize
races assessed by DNA microsatellites. *American Journal of Botany*, 95, 1240–
1253.

Voldoire, A., Saint-Martin, D., Sénési, S., Decharme, B., Alias, A., Chevallier, M.,
Colin, J., Guérémy, J.F., Michou, M., Moine, M.P., Nabat, P., Roehrig, R., Salas y
Mélia, D., Séférian, R., Valcke, S., Beau, I., Belamari, S., Berthet, S., Cassou, C.,
Cattiaux, J., Deshayes, J., Douville, H., Ethé, C., Franchistéguy, L., Geoffroy, O.,
Lévy, C., Madec, G., Meurdesoif, Y., Msadek, R., Ribes, A., Sanchez-Gómez, E.,
Terray, L., & Waldman, R. (2019). Evaluation of CMIP6 DECK Experiments With
CNRM-CM6-1. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 2177–2213.

Wang, L., Josephs, E.B., Lee, K.M., Roberts, L.M., Rellán-Álvarez, R., Ross-Ibarra, J.,
& Hufford, M.B. (2021). Molecular Parallelism Underlies Convergent Highland
Adaptation of Maize Landraces. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38, 3567–3580.

Waples, R.S., & Do, C. (2010). Linkage disequilibrium estimates of contemporary
Ne using highly variable genetic markers: A largely untapped resource for applied
conservation and evolution. *Evolutionary Applications*, 3, 244–262.

Warren, D.L., Glor, R.E., & Turelli, M. (2008). Environmental niche equivalency
versus conservatism: Quantitative approaches to niche evolution. *Evolution*, 62,
2868–2883.

Warren, D.L., Matzke, N.J., Cardillo, M., Baumgartner, J.B., Beaumont, L.J., Turelli,
M., Glor, R.E., Huron, N.A., Simões, M., Iglesias, T.L., Piquet, J.C., & Dinnage, R.
(2021). ENMTools 1.0: an R package for comparative ecological biogeography. *Ecography*, 44, 504–511.

Weir, B.S., & Cockerham, C.C. (1984). Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure. *Evolution*, 38, 1358–1370.

990 Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Cham: Springer.

Wiens, J.J. (2016). Climate-Related Local Extinctions Are Already Widespread
among Plant and Animal Species. *PLoS Biology*, 14(12), e2001104. doi:
10.1371/journal.pbio.2001104.

- Xu, J., Zhu, X., Yan, F., Zhu, H., Zhou, X., & Yu, F. (2022). Identification of Quantitative
 Trait Loci Associated with Iron Deficiency Tolerance in Maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 805247. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.805247.
- Yin, L., Zhang, H., Tang, Z., Xu, J., Yin, D., Zhang, Z., Yuan, X., Zhu, M., Zhao, S., Li,
 X., & Liu, X. (2021). rMVP: A Memory-efficient, Visualization-enhanced, and Parallelaccelerated Tool for Genome-wide Association Study. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 19, 619–628.
- Yukimoto, S., Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., Oshima, N., Yoshida, K., Urakawa, S., Tsujino,
 H., Deushi, M., Tanaka, T., & Hosaka, M. (2019). The Meteorological Research
 Institute Earth System Model Version 2.0, MRI-ESM2.0: Description and Basic
 Evaluation of the Physical Component. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan*, 97(5), 931–965.
- 1006

1007

1008 Supplementary figures

1009 Supplementary figure 1. Supplementary data of the Principal Component Discriminant Analysis (DAPC) performed with Adegenet in R (Jombart et al., 2008) 1010 1011 and shown in Figure 2C. A) Variance explained by PCA (Principal Component Analysis). B) Values of BIC (Bayesian information criterion) versus number of 1012 1013 clusters. C) DAPC cross validation. D) Contingency table of the K=3 DAPC (x-axis: 1014 DAPC groups, y-axis: maize classification, size of squares: number of individuals). 1015 E) Density graph for K=2. F) Contingency table of the K=2 DAPC (x-axis: DAPC 1016 groups, y-axis: maize classification, size of squares: number of individuals). HNWA: 1017 Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina. LNWA: Lowland maize of Western 1018 Argentina. PNWA: Popcorn of Northwestern Argentina. FNEA: Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina. PNEA: Popcorn of Northeastern Argentina. Total number of 1019 individuals: 87. 1020

1021 **Supplementary figure 2.** Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium obtained with VCFtools 1022 (Danecek et al., 2011) in (A) Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina (FNEA), (B) 1023 Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina (HNWA) and (C) all individuals 1024 employing the χ^2 test. Upper panel: excess heterozygotes. Lower panel: 1025 heterozygotes in default. The plots show the p-values versus SNP genomic 1026 positions. Red dots indicate statistically significant excess or defect heterozygotes 1027 (p-value < 1.42e-5; p-values corrected for multiple testing by the Bonferroni test).

Supplementary figure 3. Jacknife of regularised training gain for MaxEnt (Phillips et 1028 1029 al., 2004) model of (A) Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina (FNEA) and for (B) 1030 Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina (HNWA) employing historical 1031 bioclimatic variables and altitudes from Worldclim (https://www.worldclim.org/data/cmip6/cmip6climate.html). 1032 Green: without 1033 variable. Blue: with only variable. Red: all variables. (C) Definition of the variables 1034 employed in the analyses.

1035 Supplementary tables

Supplementary table 1. Data of individuals sequenced by ddRADseq. A priori
classification was based on Lia et al. (2009), Bracco et al. (2016), López et al. (2021)

31

1038 and Rivas et al. (2022). Individuals unequivocally assigned to the FNEA and HNWA genetic clusters by STRUCTURE and DAPC methods (membership coefficients or 1039 assignment probabilities > 0.75) (Figure 2C and D) are marked in orange and green, 1040 1041 respectively. FNEA: Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina. PNEA: Popcorn of Northeastern Argentina. HNWA: Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina. LNWA: 1042 Lowland maize of Western Argentina. PNWA: Popcorn of Northwestern Argentina. 1043 1044 VAV: ID of the "N.I. Vavilov" Plant Genetic Resource Laboratory, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Buenos Aires. ARZM: ID of the "Banco Activo de 1045 Germoplasma INTA Pergamino". Coordinates are provided in decimal degrees. 1046

Supplementary table 2. Unfiltered VCF file obtained with Stacks v1.42 (Catchenet al., 2013).

Supplementary table 3. Filtered VCF file. Filtering was performed with VCFtools
(Danecek et al., 2011).

Supplementary table 4. Filtered and imputed VCF file. Imputation was carried out
with Beagle (Browning et al., 2018).

Supplementary table 5. Filtered, imputed, and annotated VCF file. Annotation was
performed with SnpEff (Cingolani et al., 2012).

Supplementary table 6. Occurrence locations of FNEA (Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina) and HNWA (Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina) individuals employed in the MaxEnt analyses. Locations were retrieved from Bracco et al. (2016) and this work (Supplementary Table 1). Groups were limited based on the STRUCTURE and DAPC analyses (membership coefficients or assignment probabilities > 0.75; Figure 2C and D). Duplicated occurrence locations were merged into one location. Coordinates are provided in decimal degrees.

Supplementary table 7. Estimated allele frequency (P) divergence among groups
computed using point estimates of P by STRUCTURE. K=4. The classification of
each group was based on the majority presence of groups defined a priori according
to Lia et al. (2009), Bracco et al. (2016), López et al. (2021) and Rivas et al. (2022):
FNEA (Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina); PNEA (Popcorn of Northeastern

Argentina); HNWA (Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina); LNWA (Lowland
maize of Western Argentina), and PNWA (Popcorn of Northwestern Argentina).

Supplementary table 8. (A) Supplementary data of the identification of outlier loci with BayPass (Gautier 2015), including SNP basic data, BayPass statistics information, SnpEff annotation of the found outlier loci, allelic frequencies of the outlier loci, and functional annotation of genes that contain SNPs identified as outlier loci in their bodies. HNWA: Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina. FNEA: Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina. (B) Identification of genes within 2 Mb intervals around outlier SNPs.

Supplementary table 9. Output of ENMTools (Warren et al., 2021) showing the
Schoener's D (Schoener et al., 1968) (A, C, E) and the I statistic (Warren et al., 2008)
(B, D, F) comparing MaxEnt distributions for historical climate between FNEA
(Floury maize Northeastern Argentina) and HNWA (Highland maize of Northwestern
Argentina) (A, B) and between historical climate and future climate models for FNEA
(C, D) and for HNWA (E, F). Green and purple indicate values that were averaged for
comparison.



Figure 1. Characterisation of maize landrace accessions from Northern Argentina by ddRADseq. A) Collection sites of the individuals included in this study. The map was made with QGIS. The list of individuals is in Supplementary table 1. B) Distribution of the SNPs detected in the chromosomes. The plot was made with CMplot (Yin et al. 2021). The colours indicate the number of SNPs in a 1 Mbp window. C) Summary of the annotation of the SNP matrix according to the region performed with SnpEff (Cingolani et al. 2011). HNWA: Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina. LNWA: Lowland maize of Western Argentina. NEA: Northeastern Argentina (Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina and Popcorn of Northeastern Argentina). Total number of individuals: 87.



Figure 2. Analysis of the population structure. A) Neighbor Joining tree employing Euclidean distance (Bradbury et al. 2007). B) Principal component analysis (PCA) performed with Adegenet in R (Jombart et al. 2008). PC: Principal component. C) Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components performed with Adegenet in R, k=3. LD: Linear Discriminant Axis. D) Bayesian analysis performed with STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000), K=2-4. Individuals were classified *a priori* according to Lia *et al.* (2009), Bracco *et al.* (2016), López *et al.* (2021), and Rivas *et al.* (2022) : HNWA (Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina), LNWA (Lowland maize of Western Argentina), FNEA (Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina), PNEA (Popcorn of Northwestern Argentina).



Figure 3. Decay of linkage disequilibrium calculated as the squared allele frequency correlation (r^2). r^2 is plotted against the physical distance between markers of (A) Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina, and (B) Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina. The cut-off line is plotted at $r^2 = 0.1$. The fitting of the curves was done according to the Hill and Weir's equation (1988).



Figure 4. Diversity and effective population sizes. A) Nucleotide diversity per site (Pi or π) for each chromosome computed with VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011). Values were adjusted by nonparametric local regression (LOESS). B) Histogram showing the inbreeding coefficient (F) calculated with VCFtools. C) Effective population size (Ne) estimated employing the linkage disequilibrium method implemented in NeEstimator v.2.0 (Do et al., 2014). Squares indicate the arithmetic mean, while the bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Minimum allele frequency used: 0.05, 0.02 and 0.01. HNWA: Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina. FNEA: Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina.



Figure 5. Detection of genomic signatures of selection between Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina (FNEA) and Highland maize of Northwestern Argentina (HNWA). A) Box-plot of Fst values per chromosome obtained with VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011). B) Determination of outlier loci with BayPass (Gautier, 2015). SNPs under directional selection (threshold: > 5.4 M.XtX value) are shown in red between dashed lines.





Figure 6. Habitat suitability modelling of Floury maize of Northeastern Argentina (FNEA) performed with MaxEnt (Phillips et al., 2004). Model in panel (A) represents the distribution of FNEA in the world employing altitude and historical climate data. This model was projected into two future climate scenario models, CNRM-CM6-1 (Voldoire et al., 2019) and MRI-ESM2-0 (Yukimoto et al., 2019), for the period 2081-2100 and under four CO₂ emission scenarios (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-8.7, SSP5-8.5) (B). The colours in the references indicate the strength of the prediction for each map pixel. The graphs show the average of 4 runs.



Figure 7. Habitat suitability modelling of Highland maize of Northweastern Argentina (HNWA) performed with MaxEnt (Phillips et al. 2004). Model in panel (A) represents the distribution of HNWA in the world employing altitude and historical climate data. This model was projected into two future climate scenario models, CNRM-CM6-1 (Voldoire et al. 2019) and MRI-ESM2-0 (Yukimoto et al. 2019), for the period 2081-2100 and under four CO₂ emission scenarios (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-8.7, SSP5-8.5) (B). The colours in the references indicate the strength of the prediction for each map pixel. The graphs show the average of 10 runs.