RESEARCH

Ecological Processes

Open Access



Transcriptomic responses to drought stress in the Patagonian southern beech *Nothofagus alpina*

Rita Maria Lopez Laphitz^{1*}, María Verónica Arana², Santiago Agustín Varela², Leandro Aníbal Becker¹, Carolina Soliani², María Marta Azpilicueta², Paula Marchelli² and Nicolás Bellora³

Abstract

Background Deciphering the genetic architecture of drought tolerance could allow the candidate genes identification responding to water stress. In the Andean Patagonian forest, the genus *Nothofagus* represents an ecologically relevant species to be included in different genomic studies. These studies are scarce in South American ecosystems however represent an important source of genomic data in order to interpret future climate-change environment scenarios of these emblematic forests. Here, we achieved the assemblage of the transcriptome of *N. alpina* while searching for key genes of activated or suppressed metabolic pathways in response to drought stress.

Results De novo transcriptome assembly resulted in 104,030 transcripts. Following confirmation of drought conditions, based on reduction of leaf water potential and stomatal conductance, a differential gene expression analysis resulted in 2720 significantly expressed genes (1601 up-regulated and 1119 down-regulated). Enrichment analysis (over-representation analysis and gene set enrichment analysis) resulted in more than one hundred stress-responsive term ontologies (i.e. biological processes) and pathways. Terms such as response to abscisic acid and pathways such as plant hormone signal transduction or starch and sucrose metabolism were over-represented. Protein–protein interaction assessment resulted in networks with significantly expressed top common hub gene clusters (e.g. planttype cell wall biogenesis among down-regulated or ABA-signalling among up-regulated). These networks evidenced important regulators at gene expression such as transcriptional factors.

Conclusions Responses of *N. alpina* seedlings to drought stress were evidenced by the activation of several genes linked to GO biological processes and KEGG pathways, which were mainly based on over-expression of specific protein kinases, phosphatases, synthases and transcription factors. This suggests an up-regulation of signalling pathways, triggered through plant hormones such as abscisic acid or auxin, which could counteract the osmotic stress created as a probable immediate response to drought. On the other hand, groups of carbon fixation genes related to the galactose metabolism, photosynthesis, secondary wall biogenesis, and fatty acid biosynthesis degradation were down-regulated under drought. Overall, our results provide new genomic data for understanding how non-model long-lived trees of Patagonian forests would acclimate to environmental changes.

Keywords Climate change, Differential gene expression, Hub genes, Patagonia, Temperate forest

*Correspondence: Rita Maria Lopez Laphitz

rlaphitz@cenpat-conicet.gob.ar Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

Climate change promotes increases in frequency and severity of extreme drought events in a large proportion of terrestrial ecosystems (Allen et al. 2010), affecting forest quality, structure, diversity and health (Senf et al. 2020; Vicente-Serrano et al. 2020; Hartmann et al. 2022). Based on large-scale transcriptomic responses, researchers have aimed to elucidate gene expression profiles in different drought stress conditions (Du et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019; Pervaiz et al. 2021; Lin et al. 2022). Plant stress responses involve complex crosstalk between different regulatory levels (Krasensky and Jonak 2012; Woldesemayat and Ntwasa 2018), including metabolism adjustment and gene expression for physiological and morphological acclimation (Lin et al. 2022). Inducible genes protecting against environmental stress are involved in stomatal closure, osmolyte metabolism, antioxidant activity and phytohormone signalling (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 2005; Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki 2007; Long et al. 2019).

Drought, which impairs photosynthesis, could directly trigger mortality through carbon starvation (McDowell et al. 2008) or hydraulic failure (Blackman et al. 2019). Stomatal closure and photosynthesis reduction constitute responses to diminish water loss (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki 2007) mediated mainly by a plant hormone (ABA, abscisic acid) as part of a cross-talk metabolic pathways, signalling and regulation of gene expression. This hormone plays a pivotal role in several physiological processes (Long et al. 2019). Response to stress also includes the regulation of gene expression codifying for transcription factors (TFs), protein kinases, and phosphatases (Hasegawa et al. 2000; Seki et al. 2002; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki 2007).

South American temperate forests range across a decreasing precipitation gradient and the Sub-Antarctic temperate forest occupies a wide extension from 34° 50' S to the south of the Tierra del Fuego archipelago at 55° S (Roig and Villalba 2008). In these forests, the genus Nothofagus includes ten species dispersed along a patched distribution in mono-specific or mixed forests (Suarez 2010). Among them, *N. alpina* (Poepp. et Endl.) Oerst., is most extensively distributed west of the Andes, in Chile, with some eastward extension into Argentina. Because of its historical commercial importance, its populations have been persistently degraded and deforested (Donoso and Lara 1996). Additionally, future distribution models predict they will be further threatened by the consequences of climate change particularly at the eastern distribution range (Marchelli et al. 2017). Due to the high value of its wood, the species was included in domestication programs to both conserve its current natural forests and promote its cultivation. To date, genomic information on the south American Nothofagus genus is insufficient (Torales et al. 2012; El Mujtar et al. 2014; Hasbún et al. 2016; Estravis-Barcala et al. 2021), and additional knowledge will be necessary for developing management and conservation policies under climate change scenarios. Previous studies on N. pumilio (Poepp. et Endl.) Krasser from areas with contrasting precipitation regimes noticed differential adaptive capacities to drought that could be revealing local adaptation to their home environment (Soliani et al. 2021). In the case of N. alpina, a partial transcriptome previously obtained with no induction to stress (Torales et al. 2012) served as a basis for our work. Thus, the main objective of this work consisted in the first identification of drought stressrelated transcriptional profile of N. alpina to unravel potential candidate key genes and pathways associated with climate change stress-related responses. We hypothesize that Nothofagus seedlings response to soil water involves over-expression of genes related to abscisic acid and osmotic adjustment pathways, as well as repression of genes related to growth. As such, this study expands the understanding of the molecular basis of drought stress mechanisms, which have become a common concern for an increasing number of plant species, including South American forest trees, for which the genomic basis of important traits related to drought tolerance remains undeciphered.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and eco-physiological variables

A pure stand of *N. alpina* at Quila Quina (Tren Tren hill; 40.17° SL, 71.44° WL, 980 m a.s.l.) with an average precipitation of 1100 mm yr⁻¹, located in the Lácar Lake watershed (Parque Nacional Lanín, Neuquén, Argentina) at its eastern distribution, was selected for seed collection. At this location, *N. alpina* occupies a separate niche than its congener *N. obliqua* (Mirb.) Oerst. which grows at lower altitudes. Even though natural hybridization cannot be discarded, the rates of F1 hybrids at high altitudes is below 3% and introgressive backcrosses are around 8% (El Mujtar et al. 2017).

Seeds collected from a pool of 25 trees in 2009 were used for seedling germination, resulting in plants with a representative morphology of the species. Then a greenhouse pot drought experiment was performed with two levels of water irrigation: stress and control. Both treatments tried to simulate natural conditions of drought and abundant rainfall. This experiment was carried out during two austral growing seasons: 2014–2015 (5-year-old plants) and 2015–2016 (6-year-old plants) at the San Carlos de Bariloche Experimental Station of the National Institute of Agricultural Technology (EEA INTA Bariloche, -41.1° SL, -71.3° WL). In each growing season, 20 plants were established per treatment. The stressed plants were subjected to drought cycles (e.g. discontinuity of water supply) of 36 and 42 days applied in 2014–2015 and 2015–2016 seasons respectively, whereas control plants were regularly and manually watered. Soil volumetric water content (VWC, % vol/vol) was adjusted for each treatment based on estimated retention curves from Varela et al. (2010). In both seasons, the seedlings used in the control treatment were the same, while those used in the drought stress treatment were replaced in season 2015–2016, to avoid any cumulative effects from the first season.

The pot volume used in the experiment was previously determined by Varela et al. (2010) by measuring mean daily transpiration rates of seedlings of N. alpina. A 3-L (20 cm height) pot size allowed us to ensure water availability above the Permanent Wilting Point (PWP, below 10% vol/vol) for at least one week without watering. This enabled us both to maintain adequate soil moisture levels in control treatments (field capacity close to 30% vol/ vol) and to generate fairly gradual soil desiccation in stress treatments (Passioura 2006; Fernández 2010). Soil volumetric water content (VWC) of the pot, plant water status through pre-dawn leaf water potential ($\Psi_{\rm pd}$), and stomatal conductance (g_s) as a physiological proxy of CO₂ fixation were assessed every time of registration on 5 and 7–8 plants per treatment for the first and second season, respectively. VWC (% vol/vol) was registered three times a week using HydroSens CS620 with a two-rod sensor of 120 mm (Campbell Scientific, Logan, USA). Pre-dawn leaf Ψ_{pd} (MPa) was measured in one leaf per seedling per treatment at 0, 17 and 35 days of season 1, and at 0, 31 and 42 days of season 2, using a pressure chamber (PMS 1000, PMS Instruments, Corvallis, Oregon, USA). The last day of each experimental season was considered as the maximum stress condition ($\Psi_{\rm pd}$ <-1,5 MPa). Finally, g_s (mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) was measured using a SC-1 leaf porometer (Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman WA, USA) three times a week. The proportion of harvested leaves was low in relation to total leaf area, ensuring other physiological variables measured were not affected. In all cases, fully expanded leaves were selected from the top third of the seedlings.

To evaluate the effect of drought over time for each variable measured (i.e. VWC, Ψ_{pd} and g_s), a two-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed. Statistics was run using the tidyverse, ggpubr and rstatix packages in R Software. Model assumptions (non-significant outliers, normality and sphericity) were checked in all cases. In concordance with a statistically significant interaction between treatment and time on VWC, Ψ_{pd} and g_s in both experimental seasons, the effect of the treatment

variable was analysed at each time point. Finally, *p*-values were adjusted using the Holm-Sidak multiple testing correction method. Ψ_{pd} and g_s records were used for comparison with previous drought physiological studies in *N. alpina* (Varela et al. 2010) in order to characterize the level of drought stress observed in this study.

RNA sequencing, de novo transcriptome assembly and functional annotation

At each experimental season, fully expanded leaves were trimmed (one leaf per seedling) and compiled into sample pools (10 seedlings per treatment). Each sample pool was manually grounded with mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen and total RNA extracted according to Estravis-Barcala et al. (2021). The integrity of the RNA was assessed in a 0.8% agarose gel, and its quantity and quality checked with NanoDrop (ThermoFisher Scientific) and BioAnalyzer 2100 Plant RNA Pico chip (Agilent) before proceeding with polyA enrichment library preparation. A stranded library was constructed with a sample pool from a control treatment for a de novo assembly, and four non-stranded libraries were prepared with one sample pool per treatment at each experimental season for gene expression analysis. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system at the Novogene sequencing facility in University of California, Davis, CA, USA.

Reads obtained from each sample pool were qualitychecked with FastQC (Andrews 2010) and Illumina adapters trimmed with Trimmomatic v.0.33 (Bolger et al. 2014). Reads from the stranded library were also error corrected with Rcorrector (kmer=31, Song and Florea 2015). Assembly was conducted with the preprocessed reads from the stranded library using Trinity v.2.10.0 (Grabherr et al. 2011). Transcript redundancy was reduced using cd-hit-est v.4.8.1 (Li and Godzik 2006) with parameters -c 0.90 / -n 10 / -d 0 / -M 320,000 / -T 40. All gene sequences were scanned for open reading frames (ORFs) using Transdecoder v.2.0.1 (http://trans decoder.sourceforge.net). Putative genes were annotated against the proteomes of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh., Juglans regia L. and Populus trichocarpa (Torr. et A. Gray) Brayshaw available in SwissProt, and the NCBI non-redundant protein database (nr) using BlastX with an E-value cut-off set to 10^{-5} .

Differential gene expression and functional enrichment analyses

Pre-processed reads from non-stranded libraries were mapped against the de novo transcriptome assembly for transcript per million (TPM) quantification using Salmon v.0.8.1 (Patro et al. 2017). Counts were clustered into gene-level TPMs using the R package

tximport v1.0.3 and normalized using the variance stabilizing transformation (VST) method in DESeq2 v1.28 (Love et al. 2014). Consistency between biological replicates of each treatment was checked via principal component analysis using the R package plotPCA. Hierarchical clustering selecting complete linkage and Euclidean distance of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was visualized with the R package pheatmap. Differential gene expression analysis between control and stress treatments was performed with DEseq2 considering a threshold FDR < 0.05, and a volcano plot was constructed for visualization of the resulting differential expression. The null hypothesis that the logarithmic fold change (LFC) for each gene expression equals zero between treatments was tested (e.g. the gene is not affected by drought stress). The significance of differential expression results was compared in an MA plot (i.e. M for minus in the log scale; A for average in the log scale).

Functional enrichment analysis was performed with the R package clusterProfiler (Yu et al. 2012). *Arabidopsis* whole genome annotation was used as the background (UniProtKB, http://www.uniprot.org/downl oads, accessed 10-02-2022). Annotated transcripts with |log2FC|>1.5 were included in an over-representation analysis (ORA) using the functions enrichGO and enrichKEGG with default arguments, and in a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the gseGO and gseKEGG functions with defaults arguments. Interactive graphs of biological processes, molecular function, cellular component, and KEGG pathways of DEGs were visualized using the R package enrichplot.

Network analysis was used to further explore the correlation between genes to identify hub genes (e.g. pathways repetitive genes) among DEGs at the system level in both groups (e.g. up and down-regulated). The Cyto-Hubba plug-in of Cytoscape and the STRING database were implemented to detect validated and predicted protein-protein interactions (PPIs, Szklarczyk et al. 2019) while detecting the presence of hub genes in different metabolism pathways within the networks (Smoot et al. 2011). The CytoHubba plug-in applies specific methods such as betweenness, bottleneck, closeness, clustering coefficient, degree, dmnc, eccentricity, epc, mcc, mnc, radiality and stress to rank nodes in networks (Chin et al. 2014). Based on the ranking by 12 different methods, common hub genes were detected. Finally, to better illustrate the interaction between hub genes and other common stress-responsive genes, a sub-network was created by filtering the neighbour nodes that connected with hub genes.

Results

Eco-physiological responses

Stress treatments resulted in lower levels of soil volumetric water content, leaf water potential and stomatal conductance, compared to control treatments during both experiments (Fig. 1a–c). At the last registration date of the first experimental season, control plants showed



Fig. 1 Physiological variables: **a** Soil volumetric water content (VWC, % vol of H₂O per vol of soil). **b** Pre-dawn plant water potential (Ψ_{pdi} MPa, solid lines) and theoretical water potential at 50% loss in hydraulic conductivity (Ψ_{50} : MPa, dashed line). **c** Stomatal conductance (g_{sr} mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹). Green lines and dots indicate mean values (± s.d.) for plants under control treatment. Red lines and dots represent mean values (± s.d.) for plants under water stress treatment. Left side: 2014–2015 growing season. Right side: 2015–2016 growing season. Variables are plotted as a function of days since beginning of experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical differences. ns = no statistically significant differences

mean values of $29.9 \pm 3.9\%$ (Fig. 1a), -0.5 ± 0.1 MPa (Fig. 1b) and 298 ± 50 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 1c) for VWC, Ψ_{pd} and g_{s} , respectively. Meanwhile, stressed plants showed mean values of $3.5 \pm 0.4\%$ (Fig. 1a), -1.74 ± 0.6 MPa (Fig. 1b) and 126 ± 54 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 1c), for VWC, Ψ_{pd} and g_{s} , respectively. Similarly, on the last day of the second experimental season, control plants showed mean values of $21.4 \pm 2.3\%$ (Fig. 1a), -0.6 ± 0.2 MPa (Fig. 1b), and 356 ± 40 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 1c), while stressed plants showed mean values of $3.8 \pm 0.3\%$ (Fig. 1a), -1.4 ± 1.5 MPa (Fig. 1b) and 188 ± 20 mol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 1c) for the three physiological variables.

De novo transcriptome assembly, differential gene expression and enrichment analyses

A total of 34,153,157 raw reads were sequenced from the stranded library, resulting in 32,730,857 reads after trimming and eliminating low-guality reads (Q < 20). The de novo assembly resulted in 179,620 transcripts, which after elimination of redundancy totalled 104,030 transcripts. Blast assessments resulted in 57,497 annotated transcripts (55.27%). The differential gene expression analysis resulted in 2720 DEGs between treatments, being 1601 up-regulated and 1119 down-regulated. Both the volcano plot (Additional file 2: Fig. S1a) and the MAplot (Additional file 2: Fig S1b) showed significant over-expression of genes. Furthermore, the PCA assessment of the biological replicates for each treatment (Additional file 2: Fig. S1c) resulted in 94% of the total variance, with 80% and 14% explained by axes 1 and 2, respectively. Axis 1 clearly evidenced a drought effect in terms of gene expression profile. Similarly, a hierarchical clustering of DEGs showed an expression associated to drought response represented in the heatmap (Additional file 2: Fig. S1d) as two groups of genes in two distinct clusters (control and stress). The ORA analyses of these DEGs (Fig. 2) were visualized by the representation of the top 11 enriched GO terms (Fig. 2a) as well as the top 8 KEGG pathways (Fig. 2b). Among top counts, the terms response to abscisic acid, response to water deprivation, and cell wall organization or biogenesis were observed, while for the KEGG pathways were biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, plant hormone signal transduction and starch and sucrose metabolism.

On the other hand, the GSEA on GO terms (Fig. 3a) showed six out of the top 15 significantly biological processes' enriched terms were associated with activated catabolism, while seven were linked to suppressed biogenesis/development mechanisms. Relevant biological processes' suppressed terms were photosynthesis, light reaction, fatty acid derivative metabolic process, and cell wall organization or biogenesis while others

over-expressed under drought were response to abscisic acid and response to hypoxia. From the KEGG GSEA (Fig. 3b) main suppressed pathways related to carbon assimilation and photorespiration included photosynthesis, and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism. Other suppressed pathways linked mainly to cell wall and meristem biogenesis as well as to auxin-activated signalling included fatty acid elongation and ABC transporters, respectively. Conversely, a main pathway such as the plant hormone signal transduction was activated and also relevant activated metabolism linked to the aspartatefamily pathway that leads to the synthesis of amino acids were identified as cysteine and methionine metabolism and lysine metabolism.

Based on ranking results with cytoHubba, the network analysis scored 25 top common hub genes among the up-regulated genes (Fig. 4a) and 15 hub genes among the down-regulated (Fig. 4b). Establishing groups by similarity, a cluster named as ABA-signalling activated genes (e.g. ABI1, HAB2) was defined by their link to this hormone pathway. This phytohormone, that activates various other stress-associated genes such as LTI65 (Fig. 4a), that encodes a protein induced in expression in response to water deprivation such as cold, high-salt, and desiccation. Other activated cluster, the galactose metabolism (Fig. 4a) included a relevant up-regulated hub gene encoding the sucrose non-fermenting 1-related protein kinase (SnRK1) was KING1. Another cluster, osmotic adjustment/carbohydrate metabolism hub genes are the GolS1 and GolS2 (Fig. 4a) coding for enzymes (e.g. galactinol synthases) associated with osmotic adjustment to avoid oxidative damage (Shen et al. 2020). Although not a hub gene, a linked to this cluster, the RFS5 gene (Fig. 4a) involved in the synthesis of the osmolyte raffinose is linked to the cluster. On the other hand, the group of suppressed hub genes were related to the clusters plant-type secondary cell wall biogenesis, where for instance the hub gene IRX15-L (Fig. 4b) encodes a protein involved in catalysing the addition of sugars to the growing polymer in the biogenesis of the cell wall biological process. A second down-regulated cluster identified as very long chain fatty acid and related to the fatty acid elongation KEGG pathway, scored-hub are the genes of the families KCS (1 and 6) and CER (1–3) (Fig. 4b).

Discussion

The transcriptome based on RNA-seq was de novo assembled for the southern beech *N. alpina*. Gene enrichment was assessed for the first time in this emblematic Patagonian forest tree to infer molecular responses and unravel key genes or pathways explaining changes to drought conditions. As expected, functional enrichment analyses in seedlings allowed us to identify



Fig. 2 Dot plots showing enrichment of the over-representation analysis. *X* axis is the RichFactor of each term. Bottom right bar represents the enriched -log10 *P* value. Top right dots indicate the gene number and the colour of dots corresponds to the adjusted *P*-value. **a** Gene ontology (GO) terms. GO1 response to alcohol; GO2 response to abscisic acid; GO3 response to acid chemical; GO4 response to water; GO5 response to water deprivation; GO6 repsonse to temperature stimulus; GO7 cell wall organization or biogenesis; GO8 secondary metabolic process; GO9 terpenoid metabolic process; GO10 disaccharide biosynthetic process; GO11 pollen sperm differentiation. **b** Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms. KEGG1 biosynthesis of secondary metabolites; KEGG2 plant hormone signal transduction; KEGG3 starch and sucrose metabolism; KEGG4 MAPK signaling pathway; KEGG5 galactose metabolism; KEGG6 fatty acid degradation; KEGG7 tyrosine metabolsim; KEGG8 tropane, piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis

specific drought-linked key genes identified in biological processes as well as in molecular pathways observed previously in other trees and shrubs in response to drought stress (Taïbi et al. 2017; Moran et al. 2017; Du et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019; Feng et al. 2021; Pervaiz et al. 2021).

To disentangle the genetic bases of the traits related to drought susceptibility, we ensured the stress condition based in two physiological responses indicators (Fig. 1). On the one hand, values below Ψ_{50} (Fig. 1b), previously reported for this species, were determined (point of 50% of hydraulic conductivity loss) (Varela, et al. 2010). On the other hand, a diminished stomatal conductance

under stress was also observed (Fig. 1c). These physiological responses were translated into differential patterns in gene expression between stressed and control plants, whose molecular bases allowed us to elucidate that over-expression is concentrated in a particular set of genes of specific biological processes (e.g. GOterms, Fig. 2a). Up-regulated genes involved in oxidative stress (Fig. 3a) such as the activation of metabolism of secondary metabolites, phytohormone signal transduction (e.g. abscisic acid, auxin), and catabolic processes of organic acids were identified. Conversely, down-regulated genes involved in lipid-metabolism, carbon assimilation (e.g.





Fig. 3 Dot plots showing enrichment of the gene set enrichment analysis. **a** Gene ontology (GO) terms. GO1 isoprenoid catabolic process; GO2 terpenoid catabolic process; GO3 photosynthetic electron transport chain; GO4 photosynthesis, light reaction; GO5 photosynthesis; GO6 second-messenger-mediated signaling; GO7 fatty acid derivative metabolic process; GO8 wax biosynthesis process; GO9 plant-type secondary cell wall biogenesis; GO10 organic acid catabolic process; GO11 carboxylic acid catabolic process; GO12 monocarboxylic acid catabolic process; GO13 plant-type cell wall biogenesis; GO14 lipid biosynthetic process; GO15 cell wall biogenesis; GO16 plant-type cell wall organization or biogenesis; GO18 small molecule catabolic process; GO19 lipid catabolic process; GO20 cell division; GO21 response to decreased oxygen levels; GO22 response to oxygen levels; GO23 response to hypoxia; GO24 cellular developmental process; GO25 cell differentiation; GO26 response to cold; GO27 response to abscicic acid; GO28 response to alcohol; GO29 response to temperature stimulus. **b** Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways. KEGG1 tropane, piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis; KEGG2 photosynthesis; KEGG3 phenylalanine metabolism; KEGG4 tyrosine metabolism; KEGG5 glucosinolate biosynthesis; KEGG6 fatty acid elongation; KEGG7 diterpenoid biosynthesis; KEGG8 glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism; KEGG9 motor proteins; KEGG10 ABC transporters; KEGG11 galactose metabolism; KEGG12 cysteine and methionine metabolism; KEGG13 plant hormone signal transduction; KEGG14 riboflavin metabolism; KEGG15 pyrimidine metabolism; KEGG16 lysine biosynthesis; KEGG16 lysine biosynthesis; KEGG17 MAPK signaling pathway



Fig. 4 Protein protein interaction networks: a Up-regulated genes. b Down-regulated genes. Genes coloured in a yellow–red rank constitute hub genes according to the gene set enrichment assessment (GSEA) analysis. Hub genes related to main metabolic enriched terms are marked with a solid line. Black stars indicate DNA transcription factors

photosynthesis), energy production (e.g. glycolysis and tricarboxylic acid cycle), and cell wall development/biogenesis were observed. Unravelled key genes that were significantly enriched are reported for both, encoding regulatory proteins such as gene expression/signal transduction (Fig. 4a, b). Similarly, key genes were deciphered encoding functional proteins such as key enzymes for osmolytes (e.g. raffinose) or hormone biosynthesis that respond to and tolerate stress (Fig. 4a). Regulatory proteins were identified as several transcription factors and DNA-binding factors (Additional file 1: Table S1), e.g. ERB (ethylene responsive), bHlH (leucine zipper), HSF family (heat-shock), AFP2 (ABI5 binding protein), WRKY). ERB (ERFs family members), HSF (several heat stresses factors), and WRKY transcription factors have responded similarly in N. pumilio against heat stress (Estravis-Barcala et al. 2021). In response to drought, WRKY was already identified within a complex network composed of several TFs (Lakra et al. 2013). DNA-binding proteins were also scored in the network as hub genes (Fig. 4a) such as the homeobox-leucine zipper protein (ATHB-7), identified as a regulator in an ABA-dependent pathway, while the heat stress TF (HSF4) was linked to HS-proteins regulation (Henriksson et al. 2005; Valdés et al. 2012). On the other hand, functional proteins such as enzymes (kinases or phosphatases) or transporters were evidenced through key hub genes. For instance, the enriched gene KING1 of the osmotic adjustment/ carbohydrate metabolism (Fig. 4a) elucidates that phosphorylation of the sucrose non-fermenting 1-related protein kinase (SnRK) that functions as inactivator of the sucrose synthase during a sugar starvation event. Phosphorylation has been identified as a main process acting in response to drought as enhancers of stabilization and degradation of targeted proteins (Ajadi et al. 2020). Furthermore, many metabolism pathways such as regulation of fatty acid synthesis and assimilation of nitrogen, as well as regulation of stomatal aperture/closure, are linked to this process (Ding et al. 2015). Similarly, other kinases over-expressed in this assessment are the CIPKs (Fig. 4a), which support the ABA-signalling pathway and responds to drought (Chaves et al. 2003; Yu et al. 2016; Long et al. 2019) in concordance with abiotic stresses results (Su et al. 2020; Qiu et al. 2022). Among the enzyme phosphatase group, ABI1 (Fig. 4a) is a hub gene phosphatase protein, key in several signalling ABA-pathways such as stomatal closure, osmotic water permeability, droughtinduced resistance and rhizogenesis, response to glucose, high light stress, seed germination and inhibition of vegetative growth (Chaves et al. 2003).

Photosynthesis and cell growth are primary processes to be affected by drought (Chaves et al. 2009). One of the quickest responses is suppressing water loss through stomatal closure, evidenced by the hub gene RZPF34 (Fig. 4a) encoding E3 ubiquitin-ligase, which inhibits CO₂ assimilation and causes a cellular sugar shortage, triggering a chain response including damage proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids (Batista-Silva et al. 2019). Key functional proteins linked to photosynthesis depletion were probably evidenced with suppression of KEGG pathways like galactose metabolism, photosynthesis, pyruvate metabolism, and GO terms as photosynthesis or light reaction. For instance, pyruvate metabolism pathway included two down-regulated genes encoding cell growth proteins such as ABCB4 (encoding a ATP-binding transporter) and PID (encoding protein kinase), both part of the auxin-activated signalling pathway. Likewise, photosynthesis in optimal growing conditions, but under water stress, the alternative sources of energy are glycolysis, the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and amino acid catabolism (Avin-Wittenberg et al 2012). These metabolisms are probably a stress-response to a decreasing synthesis of proteins/regulators and the consequent decrease of the main source (sucrose) for energy fulfilment evidenced with up-regulated genes as DIN4 or F24J8.4 (Fig. 4, cluster of carbohydrates metabolism).

The metabolic pathway linked to the lipid and organic acid catabolic processes were over-expressed, while those linked to cell wall organization and biogenesis were suppressed (Fig. 3a). This suggests the repression of physiological processes such as growth. The GSEA evidenced the lipid metabolism regulation related to degradation of complex molecules (e.g. carboxylic acid catabolic processes and lipid catabolic processes). It also suggests the activation of complex molecules biosynthesis (e.g. planttype cell wall biogenesis). These responses could involve the enriched fatty acid degradation pathway in response to improve drought resistance, through the inhibition of lignin and long-chain fatty acids synthases, as observed by Gu et al. (2020). Finally, the suppressed KEGG pathway glucosinolate biosynthesis (Fig. 3a) observed was contrary to previous results that show an accumulation of these compounds in response to drought stress conditions (Arbona et al. 2013). However, this experiment provides evidence that supports the over-expression of processes related to secondary metabolism linked to drought defense responses, indicating that water deprivation may hypothetically affect plant biotic interactions.

Based upon the meta-analysis from the STRING database, the evidence depicted by PPIs showed a set of core drought-responsive genes group, encoding molecular chaperones, as heat shock (HS) proteins (e.g. J8 and HSP18.2, HSP20-like chaperones superfamily) (Fig. 4a). For instance, HSP70's protect cells against heat and oxidative stress (Usman et al. 2017). In the same network, close to these HS-protein cluster, several genes (e.g. GolS1, GolS2, SIP2, RFS5 and DIN10) (Fig. 4a) coding for enzymes (e.g. galactinol synthases) associated with osmotic adjustment to avoid oxidative damage caused by ROS via the synthesis of raffinose (Nishizawa et al. 2008; Li et al. 2020) were intimately linked.

Conclusions

In this study, new transcriptomic information is presented from a novel drought stress experiment in the seedlings of N. alpina, an ecologically important tree inhabiting Patagonian temperate forests of South America. Although Nothofagus species have evidenced gene selection signals linked to drought stress along precipitation gradients suggesting local adaptation (Soliani et al. 2021), ecological niche models revealed endangered adaptation against future climate changing scenarios (Marchelli et al. 2017). Here, candidate genes for drought stress response were identified, as well as their interactions, metabolic pathways and processes potentially involved. Main results denoted the over-expression (e.g. up-regulation) of protein kinases, phosphatases, synthases and transcription factors, suggesting signalling pathway activation through phytohormones to avoid desiccation and osmotic damage based on stomatal closure and subsequent activation of carbon metabolism. For instance, ABA-signalling pathways were activated through phosphatases, whereas photosynthesis mechanism, tricarboxylic acid cycle, and pyruvate metabolism were suppressed. This indicates a possible link between over-expressed ABA genes and the expression of metabolic-related genes such as protein phosphatase 2C 56 (ABI1). On the other hand, the suppression (i.e. downregulation) of ABC transporter B family member 4 (ABCB4), enriched as an auxin-signalling pathway and cell growth ontologies, suggests a link between hormone transport to roots and the expression of genes involved in cell growth metabolism (e.g. secondary wall biogenesis, cell wall dynamics and fatty acid biosynthesis). Simultaneously, activation of genes related to secondary metabolites such as specific amino acids could be a response to osmotic stress created by the obstruction of ion exchange through stomata. This information suggests a rapid response to minimize water loss while metabolism is activated against oxidative stress and energy depletion. Overall, our results provide new genome-wide data to understand how native non-model long-living trees of Patagonian forests would acclimate, and eventually, adapt to environmental changes.

Abbreviations

DEG Differential expressed genes GSE Gene set enrichment GO Gene ontology

- KEGG Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
- ORA Over-representation analysis
- ORF Open reading frames
- TPM Transcript per million
- PPI Protein–protein interactions
- VST Variance stabilizing transformation

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-024-00496-7.

Additional file 1. Table S1. List of annotated transcription factors and classified into their corresponding transcription factor families.

Additional file 2: Fig. S1 (a) Volcano plot. *X* axis: -log of *p*-adjusted values. *Y* axis: log2 fold change values. Green dots represent those significant with $p_{adj} < 0.05$ and |log2FC| > 1.5. **b** MA plot. Differential gene expression visualization. Blue dots represent genes that are significantly up-regulated and down-regulated. *X* axis: log2 fold change of gene expression. *Y* axis: statistical significance of the differential expression in log10 (FDR). **c** Principal Component Analysis. Visualization of gene expression data of green mature leaves under control treatment (blue dots) and stress conditions (red dots). **d** Heatmap of the total differentially expressed genes. Columns and rows in the heat maps represent samples and genes, respectively. CONTROL: results of controls, STRESS: results of water-deprivation treatments. Color scale indicates fold changes of gene expression. In redorange indicated increased transcript abundance, and in blue decreased transcript abundance.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mario Huentú and Fabián Jake for helping in maintaining the plants in the nursery.

Author contributions

CS, MMA, MVA and PM, designed the study. MVA, CS, MMA, SAV and PM designed and ran physiological experiments and analyses. MVA, CS and PM performed lab experiments. RM.L, LAB, and NB designed and performed bioinformatic analyses. RMLL and LAB wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by PICT-2012-2250; PICT 2016-1116. RMLL was financially supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT, MINCyT, Argentina).

Availability of data and materials

Data has been submitted to NCBI as BioProjectID PRJNA1068846, including raw sequences to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA). BioSample accessions are SAMN39605086, SAMN39605087, SAMN39605088, SAMN39605089, SAMN39605090. This Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession GKRK00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, GKRK01000000. Seed collection was performed with the allowance of Lanin National Park Administration.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

. . . .

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest or competing interests.

Author details

¹Instituto de Diversidad y Evolución Austral (CENPAT CONICET), Bv. Brown 2915, Puerto Madryn, Argentina. ²Instituto de Investigaciones Forestales y Agropecuarias Bariloche (IFAB INTA CONICET), Modesta Victoria 4450, San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina. ³Instituto de Tecnologías Nucleares para la Salud (CONICET), Ruta Prov. 82, San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina.

Received: 13 September 2023 Accepted: 14 February 2024 Published online: 06 May 2024

References

- Ajadi AA, Cisse A, Ahmad S, Wang YF, Shu WZ, Li SF, Liu XX, Bello BK, Tajo SM, Tong XH, Zhang J (2020) Protein phosphorylation and phosphoproteome: an overview of rice. Rice Sci 27(3):184–200. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.rsci.2020.04.003
- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, Kitzberger T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EH, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z, Castro J, Demidova N, Lim J-H, Allard G, Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb N (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. For Ecol Manag 259(4):660–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- Andrews, S (2010) FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc. Accessed 18 May 2023.
- Arbona V, Manzi M, de Ollas C, Gómez-Cadenas A (2013) Metabolomics as a tool to investigate abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Int J Mol Sci 14(3):4885–4911. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14034885
- Avin-Wittenberg T, Tzin V, Angelovici R, Less H, Galili G (2012) Deciphering energy-associated gene networks operating in the response of *Arabidopsis* plants to stress and nutritional cues. Plant J 70(6):954–966. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.04926.x
- Batista-Silva W, Heinemann B, Rugen N, Nunes-Nesi A, Araújo WL, Braun HP, Hildebrandt TM (2019) The role of amino acid metabolism during abiotic stress release. Plant Cell Environ 42(5):1630–1644. https://doi.org/10. 1111/pce.13518
- Blackman CJ, Creek D, Maier C, Aspinwall MJ, Drake JE, Pfautsch S, Anthony O'Grady A, Delzon S, Medlyn BE, Tissue DT, Choat B (2019) Drought response strategies and hydraulic traits contribute to mechanistic understanding of plant dry-down to hydraulic failure. Tree Physiol 39(6):910– 924. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpz016
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30(15):2114–2120. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Chaves MM, Maroco JP, Pereira JS (2003) Understanding plant responses to drought: from genes to the whole plant. Funct Plant Biol 30(3):239–264. https://doi.org/10.1071/fp02076
- Chaves MM, Flexas J, Pinheiro C (2009) Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. Ann Bot 103(4):551–560. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn125
- Chen Y, Chen Y, Shi Z, Jin Y, Sun H, Xie F, Zhang L (2019) Biosynthesis and signal transduction of ABA, JA, and BRs in response to drought stress of Kentucky bluegrass. Int J Mol Sci 20(6):1289. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms20061289
- Chin C-H, Chen S-H, Wu H-H, Ho C-W, Ko M-T, Lin C-Y (2014) cytoHubba: Identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. BMC Syst Biol 8:S11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11
- Ding S, Zhang B, Qin F (2015) Arabidopsis RZFP34/CHYR1, a Ubiquitin E3 Ligase, regulates stomatal movement and drought tolerance via SnRK2.6mediated phosphorylation. Plant Cell 27(11):3228–3244. https://doi.org/ 10.1105/tpc.15.00321
- Donoso C, Lara A (1996) Utilización de los bosques nativos en Chile: Pasado, presente, futuro. In: Armesto JJ, Villagrán C, Kalin Arroyo M (eds) Ecología de los bosques nativos de Chile. Universitaria, Santiago. https://api.seman ticscholar.org/CorpusID:184724398. Accessed 13 Apr 2023.
- Du M, Ding G, Cai Q (2018) The transcriptomic responses of *Pinus massoniana* to drought stress. Forests 9(6):326. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9060326
- El Mujtar VA, Gallo LA, Lang T, Garnier-Géré P (2014) Development of genomic resources for *Nothofagus* species using next-generation sequencing data. Mol Ecol Res 14(6):1281–1295. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12276

- El Mujtar VA, Sola G, Aparicio A, Gallo LA (2017) Pattern of natural introgression in a *Nothofagus* hybrid zone from South American temperate forests. Tree Gen Genom 13:49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-017-1132-1
- Estravis-Barcala M, Heer K, Marchelli P, Ziegenhagen B, Arana MV, Bellora N (2021) Deciphering the transcriptomic regulation of heat stress responses in *Nothofagus pumilio*. PLoS ONE 16(3):e0246615. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0246615
- Feng X, Yang Z, Wang X (2021) Tissue-specific transcriptome analysis of drought stress and rehydration in *Trachycarpus fortunei* at seedling. PeerJ 9:e10933. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10933
- Fernández, RJ 2010. Control versus realismo en estudios ecofisiológicos: Opciones de diseño y procedimientos en experimentos de sequía. In: Fernández ME, Gyenge JE (eds) Técnicas de medición en ecofisiología vegetal. Conceptos y procedimientos. INTA, Buenos Aires.
- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A, Rhind N, di Palma F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N, Regev A (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol 29:644–652. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nbt.1883
- Gu H, Wang Y, Xie H, Qiu C, Zhang S, Xiao J, Li H, Chen L, Li X, Ding Z (2020) Drought stress triggers proteomic changes involving lignin, flavonoids and fatty acids in tea plants. Sci Rep 10:15504. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-72596-1
- Hartmann H, Bastos A, Das AJ, Esquivel-Muelbert A, Hammond WM, Martínez-Vilalta J, McDowell NG, Powers JS, Pugh TAM, Ruthrof KX, Allen C (2022) Climate change risks to global forest health: emergence of unexpected events of elevated tree mortality worldwide. Ann Rev Plant Biol 73:673– 702. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-102820-012804
- Hasbún R, González J, Iturra C, Fuentes G, Alarcón D, Ruiz E (2016) Using genome-wide SNP discovery and genotyping to reveal the main source of population differentiation in *Nothofagus dombeyi* (Mirb.) Oerst. in Chile. Int J Genom 216:3654093. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3654093
- Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA, Zhu JK, Bohnert HJ (2000) Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 51:463–499. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.51.1.463
- Henriksson E, Olsson AS, Johannesson H, Johansson H, Hanson J, Engström P, Söderman E (2005) Homeodomain leucine zipper class I genes in *Arabidopsis*: Expression patterns and phylogenetic relationships. Plant Physiol 139(1):509–518. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.063461
- Krasensky J, Jonak C (2012) Drought, salt, and temperature stress-induced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. J Exp Bot 63(4):1593–1608. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err460
- Lakra N, Nutan KK, Singla-Pareek SL, Pareek A (2013) Modulating the expression of transcription factors: an attractive strategy for raising abiotic stress tolerant plants. Plant Stress 7:84–99
- Li W, Godzik A (2006) Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22(13):1658– 1659. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt1158
- Li T, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Li X, Hao G, Han Q, Dirk LMA, Downie AB, Ruan YL, Wang J, Wang G, Zhao T (2020) Raffinose synthase enhances drought tolerance through raffinose synthesis or galactinol hydrolysis in maize and *Arabidopsis* plants. J Biol Chem 295(23):8064–8077. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.RA120.013948
- Lin L, Wang J, Wang Q, Ji M, Hong S, Shang L, Zhang G, Zhao Y, Ma G, Gu C (2022) Transcriptome approach reveals the response mechanism of *Heimia myrtifolia* (Lythraceae, Myrtales) to drought stress. Front Plant Sci 13:877913. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.877913
- Long H, Zheng Z, Zhang Y, Long H, Zheng Z, Zhang Y, Xing P, Wan X, Zheng Y, Li L (2019) An abscisic acid (ABA) homeostasis regulated by its production, catabolism and transport in peanut leaves in response to drought stress. PLoS ONE 14(6):e0213963. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0213963
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genom Biol 15:550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Marchelli P, Thomas E, Azpilicueta MM, van Zonneveld M, Gallo L (2017) Integrating genetics and suitability modelling to bolster climate change adaptation planning in Patagonian *Nothofagus* forests. Tree Gen Genom 13:119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-017-1201-5

- McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J, West A, Williams DG, Yepez EA (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New Phytol 178(4):719–739. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02436.x
- Moran E, Lauder J, Musser C, Stathos A, Shu M (2017) The genetics of drought tolerance in conifers. New Phytol 216(4):1034–1048. https://doi.org/10. 1111/nph.14774
- Nishizawa A, Yabuta Y, Shigeoka S (2008) Galactinol and raffinose constitute a novel function to protect plants from oxidative damage. Plant Physiol 147(3):1251–1263. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.122465
- Passioura JB (2006) The perils of pot experiments. Funct Plant Biol 33(12):1075– 1079. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP06223
- Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C (2017) Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat. Methods 4(4):417–419. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197
- Pervaiz T, Liu S-W, Uddin S, Amjid MW, Niu A-H, Wu HX (2021) The transcriptional landscape and hub genes associated with physiological responses to drought stress in *Pinus tabuliformis*. Int J Mol Sci 22(17):9604. https:// doi.org/10.3390/jims22179604
- Qiu K, Pan H, Sheng Y, Wang Y, Shi P, Xie Q, Zhang J, Zhou H (2022) The peach (*Prunus persica*) CBL and CIPK family genes: protein interaction profiling and expression analysis in response to various abiotic stresses. Plants 11(21):3001. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11213001
- Roig FA, Villalba R (2008) Understanding climate from Patagonian tree rings. In: Rabassa J (ed) The Late Cenozoic of Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. Developments in Quaternary Sciences, vol 11. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 411–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-0866(07)10021-X
- Seki M, Narusaka M, Ishida J, Nanjo T, Fujita M, Oono Y, Kamiya A, Nakajima M, Enju A, Sakurai T, Satou M, Akiyama K, Taji T, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Carninci P, Kawai J, Hayashizaki Y, Shinozaki K (2002) Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. Plant J. 3:279–292. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2002.01359.x
- Senf C, Buras A, Zang CS, Rammig A, Seidl R (2020) Excess forest mortality is consistently linked to drought across Europe. Nat Commun 11:6200. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19924-1
- Shen Y, Jia B, Wang J, Cai X, Hu B, Wang Y, Chen Y, Sun M, Sun X (2020) Functional analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana Galactinol Synthase AtGolS2 in response to abiotic stress. Mol Plant Breed 11(14):1–11. https://doi.org/10. 5376/mpb.2020.11.0014
- Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2007) Gene networks involved in drought stress response and tolerance. J Exp Bot 58(2):221–227. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jxb/erl164
- Smoot ME, Ono K, Ruscheinski J, Wang P-L, Ideker T (2011) Cytoscape 2.8: new features for data integration and network visualization. Bioinformatics 27(3):431–432. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq675
- Soliani C, MaG M, Marchelli P, Azpilicueta MM, Dalla-Salda G (2021) Different drought-adaptive capacity of a native Patagonian tree species (*Nothofagus pumilio*) resulting from local adaptation. Eur J For Res 140:1147–1161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-021-01389-6
- Song L, Florea L (2015) Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-seq reads. GigaScience 4:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13742-015-0089-y
- Su Y, Guo A, Huang Y, Wang Y, Hua J (2020) GhCIPK6a increases salt tolerance in transgenic upland cotton by involving in ROS scavenging and MAPK signaling pathways. BMC Plant Biol 20:421. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12870-020-02548-4
- Suarez ML (2010) Tree-ring records from *Nothofagus dombeyi*: a preliminary chronology network in Northern Patagonia Argentina. Dendrochronologia 28(2):65–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2009.11.001
- Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, Simonovic M, Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Bork P, Jensen LJ, von Mering C (2019) STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucl Acids Res 47(D1):D607–D613. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1131
- Taïbi K, del Campo AD, Vilagrosa A, Bellés JM, López-Gresa MP, Pla D, Calvete JJ, López-Nicolás JM, Mulet JM (2017) Drought tolerance in *Pinus halepensis* seed sources as identified by distinctive physiological and molecular markers. Front Plant Sci 8:01202. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01202

- Torales SL, Rivarola M, Pomponio MF, Fernández P, Acuña CV, Marchelli P, Gonzalez S, Azpilicueta MM, Hopp HE, Gallo L, Paniego NB, Marcucci Poltri SN (2012) Transcriptome survey of Patagonian southern beech Nothofagus nervosa (= N. alpina): assembly, annotation and molecular marker discovery. BMC Genom 13:291. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-291
- Usman MG, Rafii MY, Martini MY, Oladosu AY, Ismail MR, Miah G (2017) Molecular analysis of Hsp70 mechanisms in plants and their function in response to stress. Biotechnol Gen Eng Rev 33(1):26–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02648725.2017.1340546
- Valdés AE, Övernäs E, Johansson H, Rada-Iglesias A, Engström P (2012) The homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) class I transcription factors ATHB7 and ATHB12 modulate abscisic acid signalling by regulating protein phosphatase 2C and abscisic acid receptor gene activities. Plant Mol Biol 80:405–418. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-012-9956-4
- Varela SA, Gyenge JE, Fernández ME, Schlichter T (2010) Seedling drought stress susceptibility in two deciduous *Nothofagus* species of NW Patagonia. Trees 24:443–453. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-010-0412-2
- Vicente-Serrano SM, Quiring SM, Peña-Gallardo M, Yuan S, Domínguez-Castro F (2020) A review of environmental droughts: Increased risk under global warming? Earth Sci Rev 201:102953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev. 2019.102953
- Woldesemayat AA, Ntwasa M (2018) Pathways and network based analysis of candidate genes to reveal cross-talk and specificity in the sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) responses to drought and its co-occurring stresses. Front Genet 9:00557. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00557
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2005) Organization of *cis*-acting regulatory elements in osmotic- and cold-stress-responsive promoters. Trends Plant Sci 10(2):88–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2004.12.012
- Yu F, Wu Y, Xie Q (2016) Ubiquitin-proteasome system in ABA signaling: from perception to action. Mol Plant 9(1):21–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molp.2015.09.015
- Yu GC, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y (2012) clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS J Integr Biol 16(5):284–287. https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.