



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Virulence genes and antimicrobial susceptibility in *Salmonella enterica* serotypes isolated from swine production in Argentina



Patricia Joaquim^{a,*}, Mariana Herrera^b, Alberto Dupuis^b, Pablo Chacana^a

^a Instituto de Patobiología-UEDD IPVET INTA CONICET, Centro de Investigación en Ciencias Veterinarias y Agronómicas, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Argentina

^b DILAB SENASA, Argentina

Received 31 March 2020; accepted 6 October 2020

Available online 9 January 2021

KEYWORDS

Salmonella;
Pigs;
Virulence genes;
Antimicrobial
resistance

Abstract *Salmonella* is a worldwide-distributed pathogen that affects both humans and animals and is usually associated with intensive animal production such as poultry and swine. This bacterium carries different virulence genes, whose expression favors its interaction with the host and may influence the course of the infection. Extended usage of antibiotics for metaphylaxis or prophylaxis and as growth promoters favors the emergence of multiresistant *Salmonella* strains. The aim of this work was to assess the association between the presence of virulence-associated genes and the antimicrobial resistance phenotype in *Salmonella* isolates obtained from swine intensive and backyard farms in Argentina during 2012–2018. A total of 59 *Salmonella* strains belonging to several serotypes were studied. All the strains carried the *sopB* and *ssaQ* genes, whereas more than 90% of the isolates carried the *mgtC*, *avrA*, and *ssiD* genes. Some isolates also carried the *bcfC*, *sodC1*, *gipA*, *sopE1* and *spvC* genes; however, their presence varied among them. Susceptibility to the antibiotics tested was diverse. Isolates from intensive farms were resistant to a larger number of antimicrobials than those from backyard farms and some of the strains showed high virulence potential and extensive antimicrobial resistance profiles. Continuous surveillance is essential to detect the emergence of strains that may represent a significant risk not only for animal production but also for the human population.

© 2020 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: joaquim.patricia@inta.gob.ar (P. Joaquim).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Salmonella;
Cerdos;
Genes de virulencia;
Resistencia
antimicrobiana

Genes de virulencia y sensibilidad a antimicrobianos en serotipos de *Salmonella enterica* aislados de producción porcina en Argentina

Resumen *Salmonella* es un patógeno de distribución mundial, que afecta tanto a humanos como a animales, y generalmente está asociado a la producción animal intensiva, entre ellas, la aviar y la porcina. Esta bacteria contiene diferentes genes de virulencia, cuya expresión favorece su interacción con el huésped y puede influir en el curso de la infección. El uso extendido de antibióticos en forma metafáctica o profiláctica, o como promotores del crecimiento, favorece la aparición de cepas de *Salmonella* multirresistentes. El objetivo de este trabajo fue determinar la presencia de genes de virulencia y la sensibilidad a antimicrobianos en cepas de *Salmonella* aisladas en producciones porcinas intensivas y de traspatio de la República Argentina entre los años 2012 y 2018. Se estudiaron un total de 59 aislamientos de *Salmonella* pertenecientes a distintos serotipos. Todos los aislamientos presentaron los genes *sopB* y *ssaQ*, mientras que más del 90% portaron los genes *mgtC*, *avrA* y *siiD*. Algunos aislamientos también fueron positivos para los genes *bcfC*, *sodC1*, *gipA*, *sopE1* y *spvC*, pero su presencia fue variable entre las cepas analizadas. La sensibilidad a los antibióticos evaluados fue diversa. Los aislamientos de granjas intensivas fueron resistentes a un mayor número de antimicrobianos que los de granjas de traspatio y algunas de las cepas mostraron perfiles con un alto potencial de virulencia, así como resistencia extendida a antimicrobianos. La vigilancia continua es esencial para detectar la aparición de este tipo de cepas, que pueden representar un riesgo significativo no solo para la producción animal, sino también para la población humana.

© 2020 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Salmonella is a worldwide-distributed pathogen that affects both humans and animals¹³. This microorganism is usually associated with intensive animal production, such as swine, since the confinement of animals facilitates the transmission and persistence of the pathogen in farms. Salmonellosis may cause significant economic losses due to mortality, morbidity, stunted growth, increased feed conversion rates and costs of non-specific treatments. Some animals, such as pigs and chickens, may be infected without manifesting any clinical signs of the disease and, thus, are relevant in the dissemination of the pathogen within farms and usually serve as sources of contamination of food²⁶. In Argentina, swine production is heterogeneous, comprising large-scale industrial farms and backyard productions⁶. Intensive farms are usually highly technified with high density of animals, which favors the transmission of infectious diseases³⁰. Furthermore, the use of antimicrobials as therapeutics or growth promoters that may promote the emergence of resistant strains is common. On the other hand, semi-intensive and backyard farms are less technified and pigs may be in close contact with other farm animals such as poultry or wild species¹.

S. enterica serovar Choleraesuis (*S. Choleraesuis*) is the serotype usually associated with the disease in pigs, which can also affect humans¹⁰. Likewise, pigs may be infected or carry a large number of serotypes with zoonotic potential, *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) being the most commonly isolated serovar in swine production in Argentina¹² and worldwide³. This serotype is also among the most commonly isolated from human samples

in Argentina (personal communication ANLIS-Malbran) and worldwide¹⁶.

Salmonella can carry different virulence genes, whose expression may determine the course of the infection by favoring the interaction of the microorganism with the host. Thus, in recent years, the analysis of these virulence genes and their involvement in the mechanisms of pathogenicity has been introduced as a tool to characterize *Salmonella* isolates¹⁷. This analysis usually includes the detection of representative genes from the pathogenicity islands 1–5 (*avrA*, *ssaQ*, *mgtC*, *siiD* and *sopB*), which are common in all serotypes, prophages (*gipA*, *sodC1* and *sopE1*), the virulence plasmid (*spvC*) associated with the systemic dissemination of *Salmonella*, and the fimbrial cluster (*bcfC*)^{22,28}. This approach complements the information and knowledge on circulating *Salmonella* strains and allows to typify them not only by their antigenic properties but also by their pathogenic potential. Furthermore, the virulence of bacteria is influenced not only by the presence of virulence genes but also by their antimicrobial resistance²². Currently, antimicrobials are widely used in animal production as therapeutics or growth promoters. However, the irrational use of these antimicrobials favors the emergence of multiresistant *Salmonella* strains and their resistance can be spread to other microorganisms in the gut. Many studies have reported high levels of resistance against several antibiotic families in strains isolated from different sources^{8,9}.

The aim of this work was to study the presence of virulence-associated genes and the antimicrobial resistance phenotype in *Salmonella* isolates obtained from swine intensive and backyard farms in different areas of Argentina during the 2012–2018 period.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

Virulotyping and susceptibility to antimicrobials were analyzed in 59 isolates of *Salmonella enterica* obtained from Argentinean swine production. Strains were isolated at the DILAB (Senasa) and the Instituto de Patobiología (INTA) laboratories during the 2012–2018 period. Intensive swine production strains were isolated from gallbladder and mesenteric lymph nodes in the slaughterhouse (21/59) or from fecal samples in intensive production farms (28/59), whereas strains from backyard production (10/59) were obtained from fecal samples in the farms (Supplementary Table). The following serotypes were included: Agona (5), Anatum (3), Bredeney (1), Choleraesuis (2), Cerro (2), Derby (6), Enteritidis (1), Lille (1), Lindenburg (1), Liverpool (3), Mbandaka (1), Newport (2), Ohio (2), Rissen (4), Schwarzengrund (5), Stanleyville (1), Thompson (1), Typhimurium (12), monophasic variant of *S. Typhimurium* 1,4,[5],12:i:- (MST) (3), Westhampton (1) and Worthington (2). Samples were incubated in tetrathionate broth for selective enrichment and sub-cultured on xylose-lysine-deoxycholate agar plates with the addition of tergitol. Isolates were identified by biochemical tests and serotyped according to the Kauffman-White-LeMinor Scheme¹⁸.

Virulence genotyping

The presence or absence of ten virulence genes was investigated in all the 59 *Salmonella* isolates by PCR amplification using specific primer pairs. The technique was carried out according to Huehn et al.²² and Osman et al.^{27,28} The ten virulence genes analyzed were: *avrA*, *ssaQ*, *mgtC*, *ssiD*, *sopB*, *gipA*, *sodC1*, *sopE1*, *spvC* and *bcfC*. Five of these genes (*avrA*, *ssaQ*, *mgtC*, *ssiD* and *sopB*) are located in the SPIs, three (*gipA*, *sodC1* and *sopE1*) are located in prophages, the *spvC* and the *bcfC* genes are located, respectively, in the virulence plasmid and the fimbrial cluster. These virulence determinants represent regions that are known to be either highly conserved (SPIs) or variable (prophages, plasmid) within the *Salmonella* genome²⁵. Forward and reverse primers were used according to Huehn et al.²² DNA from the isolated colonies was extracted by thermal cell lysis. A single PCR reaction was done for each gene. Each PCR was performed in a final volume of 25 µl containing 2.5 µl of 10× Reaction Buffer TAS (Inbio Highway, Buenos Aires, Argentina), 1.5 µl of 25 mmol/l MgCl₂ (Inbio Highway, Buenos Aires, Argentina), 2 µl of 10 mmol/l deoxynucleotide triphosphate (Inbio Highway, Buenos Aires, Argentina), 1 µl of 10 pmol/µl each primer (Biodynamics, Buenos Aires, Argentina), 0.25 µl of 5000 U/µl Taq DNA polymerase (Inbio Highway, Buenos Aires, Argentina), 13.8 µl of DNase/RNase-free water and 4 µl of DNA template. PCR was carried out using a first step of 95 °C for one minute followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s and finally 72 °C for 4 min. Those conditions were used for all targets except for *bcfC* PCR, whose annealing temperature was 53 °C²². After electrophoresis at 90 V for 40 min, amplification products were stained with ethidium bromide and observed in 1.5% agarose gel (Inbio Highway, Buenos Aires,

Argentina). A sample was considered positive when a band located approximately at the height of the desired molecular weight for each gene was observed, and coincided with the band amplified in the positive control. The virulence index (VI) was calculated as the ratio between the number of positive genes found in each isolate and the 10 genes analyzed.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Each isolate was tested for susceptibility to 15 antibiotics commonly used in veterinary or human medicine. The profile of antimicrobial susceptibility of each isolate was determined by the agar diffusion method based on the Kirby-Bauer technique⁴. The following antimicrobials were tested: ampicillin (10 µg), aztreonam (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), cefalotin (30 µg), cephalexin (30 µg), cefoxitin (30 µg), ceftiofur (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg) and enrofloxacin (5 µg). The reference strain used was *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922. The diameters of the zones of inhibition were interpreted according to the tables recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute¹¹. The extensively drug-resistant (XDR) index was calculated as “a/b,” where “a” was the number of antibiotics for which a particular isolate was resistant and “b” the total number of antibiotics tested²⁹.

Analysis of data

The association between the presence of virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance patterns was analyzed by the Chi square contingency test. Differences of XDR indexes of the strains from intensive and backyard productions were analyzed by the Student’s *t*-test. *p* values <0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed using the Graphpad Prism 5 commercial software.

Results

Virulence genotyping

The *sopB*, *ssaQ*, *mgtC*, *avrA* and *ssiD* genes were selected as markers of SPI-1 to SPI-5 respectively. All the isolates carried the *sopB* and *ssaQ* genes (59/59). The *mgtC*, *avrA* and *ssiD* genes were present in 98.31% (58/59), 93.22% (55/59), and 91.53% (54/59) of the isolates respectively, while the prophage-associated *sodC1*, *gipA* and *sopE1* genes were detected in 42.37% (25/59), 20.34% (12/59), and 18.64% (11/59) of the isolates respectively. The *bcfC* fimbrial gene was present in 83.05% (49/59) of the isolates and the *spvC* gene -used as an indicator of the virulence plasmid- was found in 5.08% (3/59) of the isolates analyzed. Table 1 shows the different percentages of presentation of the virulence genes studied in relation to *Salmonella* serotypes. The average VI was 0.6; the maximum VI (0.9) was found in serotypes Typhimurium and MST, whereas the minimum VI (0.4) was observed in serotype Lille (Supplementary Table).

Table 1 Presence of the virulence genes for each serotype.

Salmonella serotype (n)	Virulo-PCR (%)									
	sopB	ssaQ	mgtC	avrA	spvC	gipA	sodC1	sopE1	ssiD	bcfC
Typhimurium (12)	100	100	100	100	16.7	66.7	100	25	100	91.7
MST (3)	100	100	100	100	0	66.7	100	33.3	100	100
Agona (5)	100	100	100	100	0	0	20	0	80	80
Derby (6)	100	100	100	100	0	0	16.6	16.7	83.3	100
Thompson (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Liverpool (3)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Anatum (3)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Schwarzengrund (5)	100	100	100	100	0	0	20	20	60	60
Choleraesuis (2)	100	100	50	50	50	0	50	0	100	100
Rissen (4)	100	100	100	100	0	50	100	25	75	75
Westhampton (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Lindenburg (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	100	100	100	100
Newport (2)	100	100	100	100	0	0	50	100	100	50
Mbandaka (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Ohio (2)	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
Worthington (2)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Cerro (2)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	100
Enteritidis (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	0
Bredeney (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	0
Stanleyville (1)	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	100	0
Lille (1)	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	0

MST: *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:-.

The virulence profiles of the strains isolated from intensive and backyard production were similar and no significant differences were found between their average virulence index ($p=0.1886$).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Table 2 shows the percentage of susceptibility to the antimicrobials in all strains. Some isolates belonging to serotypes Agona, Anatum, Choleraesuis, Derby, Enteritidis, Lille, Liverpool, Newport, Schwarzengrund, Stanleyville and Westhampton were susceptible to all the antimicrobials evaluated (Supplementary Table). Extended resistance was frequently observed in strains belonging to serotypes Typhimurium (with a maximum XDR index of 0.60) and MST (with a maximum XDR index of 0.80). The average XDR value of strains from backyard production was 0.08 (SD = 0.15) whereas for the isolates from intensive production was 0.24 (SD = 0.21), and this difference was significant ($p=0.0231$).

Association of the antimicrobial resistance phenotype with virulence-associated genes

The association between the presence of virulence genes and resistance to antimicrobials was diverse. With regard to the genes from the SPIs, only *ssiD* was found to be related to resistance to enrofloxacin ($p=0.0420$), whereas no statistical association was detected between the presence of the *mgtC*, *avrA*, *ssaQ*, and *sopB* genes and the antimicrobials evaluated. The virulence genes *gipA* and *sodC1*, located in the prophage, were associated with resis-

tance to ampicillin ($p=0.0067$ and $p=0.0040$), cefalotin ($p=0.0022$ and $p=0.0018$), TMS ($p=0.0184$ and $p=0.0093$), gentamicin ($p=0.0002$ and $p<0.0001$), and ciprofloxacin ($p=0.0016$ and $p=0.0260$). *gipA* was also associated with resistance to nalidixic acid ($p=0.0002$). No association was found between the presence of prophage gene *SopE1* and resistance to any of the antibiotics. Plasmid gene *spvC* was associated with resistance to tetracycline ($p=0.0247$), nalidixic acid ($p=0.0066$) and aztreonam ($p=0.0402$), and e fimbrial cluster gene *bcfC* was associated with resistance to ampicillin ($p=0.0007$) and cefalotin ($p=0.0020$).

Discussion

In this work, we analyzed 59 strains of *Salmonella* isolated from intensive and backyard swine production in Argentina. Among them, we included 12 strains of *S. Typhimurium*, which is the most prevalent serotype in the Argentinean swine industry²³, comprising 25% of *Salmonella* isolates in the human population from Argentina (ANLIS Malbran, personal communication). Strains were characterized by the presence of two relevant indicators for the pathogenicity of *Salmonella*: virulence genes and susceptibility to antimicrobials, which may help to understand the potential risk of the strains circulating in swine production.

Most of the strains carried the genes from SPIs 1 to 5, supporting previous studies that suggest that virulence determinants located in these SPIs are highly conserved among serotypes⁵. These genes may be essential for the early infection of the animals since they regulate intestinal epithelial cell invasion, toxin production, intracellular survival, and also control of inflammation induced by the

Table 2 Percentage of susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolates to the different antimicrobials evaluated.

Antimicrobial	Resistant (%)	Intermediate (%)	Susceptible (%)
Ampicillin (10 µg)	44.07	0	55.93
Cefalotin (30 µg)	18.64	18.64	62.72
Cephalexin (30 µg)	1.69	8.47	89.84
Cefoxitin (30 µg)	5.08	1.69	93.23
Ceftiofur (30 µg)	5.08	40.68	54.24
Cefepime (30 µg)	1.69	0	98.31
TMS (1.25/23.75 µg)	24.73	0	75.27
Imipenem (10 µg)	0	0	100
Gentamicin (10 µg)	25.42	8.47	66.11
Chloramphenicol (30 µg)	35.59	0	64.41
Tetracycline (30 µg)	50.85	11.86	37.29
Nalidixic acid (30 µg)	44.07	13.56	42.37
Ciprofloxacin (5 µg)	20.34	10.17	69.49
Enrofloxacin (5 µg)	38.98	3.39	57.63
Aztreonam (30 µg)	1.69	1.69	96.62

microorganism⁷. In contrast, the genes located in the prophages, the fimbrial cluster and the virulence plasmid showed variability. Only the strains of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Choleraesuis* analyzed in this work carried the *spvC* gene, which is strongly associated with strains that cause bacteremia²⁰ and with systemic dissemination of the microorganism²¹. However, Astolfi-Ferreira et al.² proposed that the *spvC* gene may not be essential for virulence, since they found that *spvC*-negative strains were still able to cause invasive infections in chickens.

Virulence gene *gipA*, which is related to colonization and invasion of the small intestine³¹, was found in only 50% of the strains of *S. Rissen* and in 66.7% of the strains of *S. Typhimurium* and its monophasic variant; similar percentages were observed by Huehn et al.^{22,31}. The presence of *sopE1*, which is associated to disruption of tight junctions²⁵ varied among the serotypes. On the other hand, in our work superoxide dismutase-encoding *sodC1* gene was detected in all the *S. Typhimurium* isolates, as also reported by De Toro et al.¹⁴, Osman et al.²⁷, and Bertelloni et al.⁵. To understand its relevance, the association between the presence of virulence genes and the pathogenicity of circulating strains should be considered in a broader gene context. In fact, if a certain gene is essential or not for pathogenicity is still controversial. For example, some authors consider that the presence of the *gipA* and *sopE1* genes in non-typhoidal *Salmonella* may be irrelevant for invasiveness³³.

Overall, in the present study, the strains from intensive farms were resistant to a larger number of antimicrobials than those from backyard farms independently of the serotype. The highest XDR index value was found for a monophasic *S. Typhimurium* strain isolated from an intensive farm located in the Province of Buenos Aires. This fact may be explained by the extended usage of antibiotics for metaphylaxis or prophylaxis and as growth promoters in industrial production that may favor the emergence of multiresistant strains as proposed by Esperbent and Migliorati¹⁵. In fact, the increasing spread of resistance to different drugs through horizontal transfer among the gut microorganisms is an imperative Public Health concern worldwide¹⁴.

Susceptibility to other antimicrobials varied among the strains. We found that 44.07% of the strains were resistant to ampicillin; similar levels of resistance were reported by Campos et al.⁹ in swine farms from Portugal in 2019, but higher levels were reported by Cameron-Veas et al.⁸ in Spain in 2018 with around 58% of the isolates resistant to this antimicrobial. In contrast, although practices in swine production in Brazil are similar to those in Argentina, Guerra Filho et al.¹⁹, reported only 30% of resistance to ampicillin in strains from the former country. In our work, resistance to cefepime to cefalotin was relatively low, which agrees with reports from other countries^{14,19}. With regard to fluoroquinolones, the levels of resistance of *Salmonella* are diverse worldwide, ranging from 14.4%³² to 90%¹⁹. We found that 20.34 percent of the isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin and 38.98% of the strains were resistant to enrofloxacin. The emergence of mechanisms of resistance to beta-lactams, which are commonly used to treat severe *Salmonella* infections, may limit their administration in animal production and thus other alternatives should be explored and implemented¹⁴. Susceptibility to third and fourth-generation cephalosporins in strains from livestock is critical since these antibiotics are the main therapeutic option for infections caused by enterobacteria in human medicine⁸.

The presence of the virulence genes analyzed in this work does not seem to be strictly related to antimicrobial resistance as shown by the dissimilar association between carriage of virulence genes and resistance to antimicrobials. Anyhow, it should be considered that the association between the carriage of genes encoding virulence and resistance to antimicrobials should be focused on plasmid genes. Prophage genes *gipA* and *sodC1* showed the highest association with resistance to most of the antimicrobials tested, whereas we found that genes from SPI 1 to 5 had a relatively low association. In contrast, Osman et al.²⁷ reported 100% association between the presence of genes from these SPIs and resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, and TMS. Nevertheless these authors only included strains isolated from poultry, most of them

being *S. Enteritidis*, while in our work a broader spectrum of serotypes was analyzed.

In our study strains with extensive antimicrobial resistance profiles and virulence potential were detected, which may represent a serious threat for both animal production and public health. In the context of the One Health approach, continuous surveillance is essential to detect the emergence and circulation of such strains in order to minimize their impact on animal and human medicine. Thus, efforts to control the pathogen throughout the entire production chain and its environment must be done in order to ensure food safety for consumers. Control of *Salmonella* in swine production requires tailored-made programs adapted to each farm. Together with strict biosecurity measures, vaccination is one of the main tools to control the microorganism in the long-term, although this strategy is still not common in pigs since there is no commercial vaccine available in the Argentinean market. Moreover, the use of alternative products such as probiotics or phytochemicals that promote gut health may be effective²⁴.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria and Servicio Nacional de Sanidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria, Argentina for their financial support. We thank Dr. Carla Bustos for her help with molecular biology methodologies, and Pablo Barbano, Laura Magri, Gustavo Orella and Fernando Bessone for providing samples from farms.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ram.2020.10.001.

References

1. Alegria-Moran R, Rivera D, Toledo V, Moreno-Switt AI, Hamilton-West C. First detection and characterization of *Salmonella* spp in poultry and swine raised in backyard production systems in central Chile. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2017;145:3180–90.
2. Astolfi-Ferreira CS, Pequini MR, Nuñez LF, Parra SHS, Chacon R, De la Torre DI, Pedroso AC, Ferreira AJP. A comparative survey between non-systemic *Salmonella* spp (paratyphoid group) and systemic *Salmonella* Pullorum and *S. Gallinarum* with a focus on virulence genes. *Pesqui Vet Bras.* 2017;37:1064–8.
3. Barilli E, Bacci C, StellaVilla Z, Merialdi G, D'Incau M, Brindani F, Vismarra A. Antimicrobial resistance, biofilm synthesis and virulence genes in *Salmonella* isolated from pigs bred on intensive farms. *Ital J Food Saf.* 2018;7:7223.
4. Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1966;45:149–58.
5. Bertelloni F, Tosi G, Massi P, Fiorentini L, Parigi M, Cerri D, Ebani VV. Some pathogenic characters of paratyphoid *Salmonella enterica* strains isolated from poultry. *Asian Pac J Trop Med.* 2017;10:1161–6.
6. Brunori J, Rodríguez Fazzone M, Figueroa ME. Buenas prácticas pecuarias para la producción y comercialización porcina familiar. No. Q02/4. Ministerio de Agricultura de la República Argentina, Buenos Aires (Argentina) FAO-INTA; 2012.
7. Bustos CP, Moroni M, Caffer MI, Ivanissevich A, Herrera M, Moreira AR, Guida N, Chacana P. Genotypic diversity of *Salmonella* ser Abortus equi isolates from Argentina. *Equine Vet J.* 2020;52:98–103.
8. Cameron-Veas K, Fraile L, Napp S, Garrido V, Grilló MJ, Migura-Garcia L. Multidrug resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolated from conventional pig farms using antimicrobial agents in preventive medicine programmes. *Vet J.* 2018;234:36–42.
9. Campos J, Mourão J, Peixe L, Antunes P. Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* in the pig production cha a comprehensive analysis of its impact on human health. *Pathogens.* 2019;8:19.
10. Chiu CH, Su LH, Chu C. *Salmonella enterica* serotype Choleraesuis: epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical disease, and treatment. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2004;17:311–22.
11. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Disk diffusion. In: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 15th Informational Supplement; 2005. M100-S15. Wayne, PA, USA.
12. Colello R, Ruiz MJ, Padín VM, Rogé AD, Leotta G, Padola NL, Etcheverría AI. Detection and characterization of *Salmonella* serotypes in the production chain of two pig farms in Buenos Aires province Argentina. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9:1370.
13. Demirbilek SK. Salmonellosis in animals. In: Mascellino MT, editor. *Salmonella*, a re-emerging pathogen. IntechOpen; 2017. p. 18.
14. De Toro M, Seral C, Rojo-Bezares B, Torres C, Castillo FJ, Sáenz Y. Resistencia a antibióticos y factores de virulencia en aislados clínicos de *Salmonella enterica*. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2014;32:4–10.
15. Esperbent C, Migliorati M. Bacterias multirresistentes: una amenaza oculta que crece. *Rev Invest Agropec.* 2017;43:6–10.
16. European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (EFSA and ECDC). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. *EFSA J.* 2018;16:e05500.
17. Gal-Mor O, Boyle EC, Grassl GA. Same species, different diseases: how and why typhoidal and non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* serovars differ. *Front Microbiol.* 2014;5:391.
18. Grimont PAD, Weill FX. Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. Paris, France: WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on *Salmonella*, Institut Pasteur; 2007.
19. Guerra Filho JB, Yamatogi RS, Possebon FS, Fernandes SA, Tibacásas MR, Lara GH, Riveiro MG, Pinto JP. Frequency, serotyping and antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Salmonella* from feces and lymph nodes of pigs. *Pesqui Vet Bras.* 2016;36:1165–70.
20. Guiney DG, Fierer J. The role of the spv genes in *Salmonella* pathogenesis. *Front Microbiol.* 2011;2:129.
21. Haneda T, Ishii Y, Shimizu H, Ohshima K, Iida N, Danbara H, Okada N. *Salmonella* type III effector SpvC, a phosphothreonine lyase, contributes to reduction in inflammatory response during intestinal phase of infection. *Cell Microbiol.* 2012;14:485–99.
22. Huehn S, La Ragione R, Anjum M, Saunders M, Woodward M, Bunge C, Helmuth R, Hauser E, Guerra B, Beutlich J, Brisabois A, Peters T, Svensson L, Madajczak G, Litrup E, Imre A, Herrera-Leon S, Mevius D, Newell D, Malorny B. Virulotyping and antimicrobial resistance typing of *Salmonella enterica* serovars relevant to human health in Europe. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2010;7:523–35.
23. Ibar MP, Vigo G, Piñeyro P, Caffer MI, Quiroga P, Perfumo C, Centrón D, Giacoboni G. Serovariaciones de *Salmonella enterica* subespecie *enterica* en porcinos de faena y su resistencia a los antimicrobianos. *Rev Argent Microbiol.* 2009;41:156–62.

24. Nair D, Venkitanarayanan K, Kollanoor-Johny A. Antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* in the food supply and the potential role of antibiotic alternatives for control. *Foods.* 2018;7:167.
25. Ochoa IMF, Rodríguez AV. Mecanismos moleculares de patogenicidad de *Salmonella* sp. *Rev Latinoam Microbiol.* 2005;47:25–42.
26. OIE. Salmonellosis. Manual de Pruebas de Diagnóstico y las Vacunas para los Animales Terrestres (Manual Terrestre versión online), 2.9.8; 2016. p. 1–18.
27. Osman KM, Elhariri M, Amin ZMS, Al-Atfeehy N. Consequences to international trade of chicken hatchlings: *Salmonella enterica* and its public health implications. *Int J Adv Res.* 2014;2:45–63.
28. Osman KM, Hassan WMM, Mohamed RAH. The consequences of a sudden demographic change on the seroprevalence pattern, virulence genes, identification and characterisation of integron-mediated antibiotic resistance in the *Salmonella enterica* isolated from clinically diarrhoeic humans in Egypt. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;33:1323–37.
29. Pearson MAJ, Galas M, Corso A, Hormazábal JC, Valderrama CD, Marcano NS, Ramon-Pedro P, Melano RG. Consenso latinoamericano para definir, categorizar y notificar patógenos multirresistentes, con resistencia extendida o panresistentes. *Rev Panam Salud Pública.* 2019;43:e65.
30. Perfumo CJ, Machuca M, Cappuccio J, Piñeyro P, Quiroga A, Vigo G. Situación actual de las enfermedades que afectan la producción porcina en la Argentina Cuadros digestivos asociados a *Salmonella* spp. y *Lawsonia intracellularis*. In: V Congreso de Producción porcina del Mercosur. 2006. p. 221–2.
31. Su JH, Zhu YH, Ren TY, Guo L, Yang GY, Jiao LG, Wang JF. Distribution and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from pigs with diarrhea in China. *Microorganisms.* 2018;6:117.
32. Suez J, Porwollik S, Dagan A, Marzel A, Schorr YI, Desai PT, Agmon A, McClelland M, Rahay G, Gal-Mor O. Virulence gene profiling and pathogenicity characterization of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* accounted for invasive disease in humans. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e58449.
33. Thung TY, Radu S, Mahyudin NA, Rukayadi Y, Zakaria Z, Mazlan N, Tan B, Lee E, Yeoh S, Chin Y, Tan C, Kuan C, Basri D, Radzi C. Prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella* serovars from retail beef in Selangor, Malaysia. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;8:2697.