

Wheat lines, suffering structural rearrangements between wheat-1BS and rye-1RS chromosomes, display differential patterns of magnesium accumulation

Gualano, L. D.¹; Moriconi, J. I.¹; Tranquilli, G.E²; Santa-María G.E.¹

¹ Instituto Tecnológico Chascomús (INTECH), CONICET-UNSAM, Chascomús, Argentina;

² Instituto de Recursos Biológicos, INTA. Hurlingham, Argentina

Introduction: Two near isogenic lines, designated as 1RS and 1RW, resulting from the recombination of the rye (Secale *cereale*) chromosome 1RS with chromosome 1BS of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), differ in a relatively small region of that short chromosome arm. We have recently reported that chromosome rearrangements in this region imply modifications in the dosage of some genes (including OPRIII codifying an enzyme involved in jasmonic acid (JA) biosynthesis pathway). This dosage difference confer differential drought resistance in a way primarily associated to the capacity of roots for soil exploration. An emerging question is whether or not those rearrangements also differentially influence plant mineral nutrition. In the present study we analyzed the ionome of 1RS and 1RW, which unveiled the existence of relevant differences in the distribution of magnesium (Mg) between the shoot and the root of these lines. Following this observation, we explored the physiological and molecular determinants of differential magnesium accumulation observed.



Poster N°

238

Results:

1- Do 1RS and 1RW plants differ in their ionomes?

Element	Root element	Shoot element	Shoot/root	
ciement	concentration	concentration	element partition	
К	0.8920	0.2384	0.7143	
Sr	0.1477	0.1489	0.0032	
Мо	0.4383	0.4649	0.0800	
ΑΙ	0.7874	0.1683	0.9119	
Р	0.1907	0.4131	0.0091	
Cu	0.9204	0.7934	0.0254	
Mn	0.4060	0.0010	0.1192	
Zn	0.9266	0.9534	0.0905	
Ca	0.8709	0.5646	0.0154	
Mg	0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Fe	0.0454	0.7794	0.4553	
Na	0.7953	0.1777	0.3390	

 Table 1: ionome analysis
of 1RS and 1RW plants.

In orange significant pvalues are indicated for two experiments treated as blocks.

Consistent differences detected for were Magnesium (Mg)

2- Do the above differences occur over a wide range of Mg supply?

3- Are the translocation differences attributable to a **Iarge contribution of the bypass flow?**

factor	p-value			
G	0.0104	External Mg concentration (µM)		
Т	0.4123			
GxT	0.0159	10	1000	
en	1RS	0.6% ± 0.2	0.2% ± 0.0	
Ŭ	1RW	0.4% ± 0.1	0.6% ± 0.2	

The apoplastic contribution may be marginal

Table 2: Relative contribution of apoplastic flow to the total amount of water transpired. Data correspond to the mean value of two experiments ± SE considered as blocks. Factorial ANOVA is indicating shown p-values obtained for genotype (G), level of Mg supply (T) their and interaction (GxT).

4- *Does* **1RS** *and* **1RW** *transcriptome differ for the* expression of genes coding cation transporters?

Family	DEG d6	DEG d16
CPA1		1
CPA2		5
GLR	1	2
HAK	1	3
HMA	2	
IREG		1
MSL		1
NRAMP	1	
OSCA		2
Shaker	1	1
VIT	3	
ZIF		2
ZIP	5	
Total	14	18

Table 3: A catalogue of wheat genes coding for putative cation transporters was built up using the 1.1 wheat genome version. Then, we performed a comparative study of the root tip transcriptome of 1RS and 1RW plants. The number of differential expressed (DEG) at 6 and 16 days after genes germination is shown. It remains to be explored the possible relevance of these genes in the pattern here described.



5- Are gene dosage differences involved? Is OPRIII Involved?



Figure 2: Genetic information suggest that Mg partitioning is affected by gene dosage as lines T21 and 1B+40, with intermediate number of copies of the region, display intermediate partitioning values relative to 1RS and 1RW. Experiments with the JA-biosynthesis inhibitor, Ibuprofen (5 μ M), indicate that the pattern of Mg partitioning can be pharmacologically reverted. Data correspond to the mean value of two experiments ± SE considered as blocks.

Conclusion: Our results disclose a chromosome region involved in setting Mg translocation in wheat. Differences in Mg partitioning are maintained over a wide range of external Mg supplies, likely involving the symplastic route. Genetic evidence indicates an effect of differences in gene dosage, potentially attributable to the action of the JA biosynthesis pathway. Noticeably, additional data indicate that these early differences are not translated to differences in Mg grain concentration (data not shown), thus precluding their potential use for biofortification.