OPEN ACCESS

Resource Communication. Identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at candidate genes involved in abiotic stress in two *Prosopis* species and hybrids

María F. Pomponio^{1*}, Susana Marcucci Poltri², Diego López Lauenstein³ and Susana Torales¹

¹ Instituto de Recursos Biológicos (IRB). Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA Castelar). Las Cabañas y Los Reseros, s/n. 1686 Hurlingham. Buenos Aires, Argentina. ² Instituto de Biotecnología (IB), CICV y A. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA Castelar). Las Cabañas y Los Reseros, s/n. 1686 Hurlingham. Buenos Aires, Argentina. ³ Instituto de Fisiología y Recursos Genéticos Vegetales (IFRGV). Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA). Córdoba, Argentina.

Abstract

Aim of the study: Identify and compare SNPs on candidate genes related to abiotic stress in Prosopis chilensis, Prosopis flexuosa and interspecific hybrids

Area of the study: Chaco árido, Argentina.

Material and Methods: Fragments from 6 candidate genes were sequenced in 60 genotypes. DNA polymorphisms were analyzed.

Main Results: The analysis revealed that the hybrids had the highest rate of polymorphism, followed by *P. flexuosa* and *P. chilensis*, the values found are comparable to other forest tree species.

Research highlights: This approach will help to study genetic diversity variation on natural populations for assessing the effects of environmental changes.

Key words: SNPs; abiotic stress; interspecific variation; molecular markers.

Introduction

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) is the substitution of only one nucleobase in DNA fragments homologous. In recent years, the discovery SNP candidate-gene resequencing has been a major focus in tree genomics. The SNPs frequency in trees is high, generally in the range of 1 SNP per 100 bp among the species that have been surveyed (Neale & Kremer, 2011).

Prosopis chilensis and *Prosopis flexuosa* are two hardwood arboreal species which grow in sympatry in the Argentinean arid Chaco region. Genetic diversity was studied in the *Prosopis* species using isozyme markers (eg. Saidman *et al.*, 1998); Random Amplified Polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs) (*eg.* Elmeer & Almalki, 2011) and Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) (*eg.* Mottura, 2006). Frequency of SNPs markers based on ESTs has been reported in *P. juliflora* (*eg.* Sablok & Shekhawat, 2008), but there have not been carried out studies of genetic diversity in *Prosopis* species with these markers yet.

The objective of the present study was to identify and to compare levels of nucleotide polymorphism of SNPs based on five drought and salinity adaptation related candidate genes in two *Prosopis* species and their hybrids.

^{*} Corresponding author: pomponio.florencia@inta.gob.ar Received: 09-12-13, Accepted: 15-01-14.

This work has one Supplementary Table that does not appear in the printed article but that accompany the paper online

Abbreviations used: NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; SNPs: Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms; RAPDs: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNAs; SSR: Simple Sequence Repeats.

Gene name	Accession number of <i>P. juliflora</i> sequences for primer design/Primers from bibliography	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size of fragment (bp)	Exon/intron distribution (bp)	Percent of coding regions (%) 100%	
Early responsive to dehydration (ERD 15)	DW360105	F:CTGGTTTGAACCCAAATGCT R:ATGCCCAGATCCAACATCTC	214	Exon: 214		
Hak3p	DW360037	F:CCACATGTCAGAGCTGAGGA R:ATGCTGAAGTCCCCAACAAC	240	Exon: 240	100%	
sHSP	DW359918	F:CTGCCGATAAGTCCGTCAAT R:GTCCTCCACCTGAACCTTGA	160	Exon: 160	100%	
PHD finger	DW359867	F:GACGTGAAATGGAGGCTTCT R:CTCTTTTCTCCGCACAATCC	600	Exon :81, Intron: 519	13.5%	
Rab 7 GTP	EF591762	F:CGGCGAATGTTATTGAAGGT R:TCCCAAATCTGCAATGTGAA	256	Intron: 155, Exon: 101	39%	
Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH I)	Sang et al., 1997	F: CCTCGCATATTTGGTCACGAAG R: GGGCACACCAACAAGTACTG	260	Intron: 158, Exon:102	39%	
Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH II)	Fukuda et al., 2005	F:ATATTTGGTCAYGAAGCTGG R: CCCTTRAGMGTCCTCTCATTC	377	Intron: 41, Exon: 336	89%	
Total			2,107	Intron: 873, Exon: 1,234	58%	

Table 1. Candidate genes selected, PCR primers and length of regions analyzed

Materials and methods

Genomic DNA from young leaves from a total of 60 genotypes, 20 from each species (*P. chilensis* and *P. flexuosa*) and 20 hybrids from the southern area of arid Chaco region of Argentina, was extracted using the DNeasyPlant Mini Kit (QIAGEN).

All candidate genes have been chosen based on literature and also on information from ESTs sequences of *Prosopis juliflora* (Suja *et al.*, 2007) available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

We analyzed fragments of *ADH* gene, a glycolytic enzyme (Fukuda *et al.*, 2005) and fragments of candidate genes induced in response to dehydration: *ERD* 15, a transcription factor which is a negative regulator of the abscisic acid (ABA) and whose overexpression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reduces ABA sensitivity and decrease the drought tolerance (Kariola *et al.*, 2006); *PHD finger*, a transcription factor associated with chromatin remodelling complex that improve stress tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants (Wei *et al.*, 2009) and a cytosolic class II low molecular weight heat shock protein (*sHSP*) that shows a tissue-specific expression of sunflower heat shock proteins in response to water stress (Almoguerra *et al.*, 1993). Also we analyzed two fragments of candidate genes involved in salt stress tolerance: *Rab 7 GTP*, a small GTP-binding protein whose overexpression on transgenic tobacco confers salt stress tolerance (George & Parida, 2011) and *Hak3p*, a potassium transporter whose expression is regulated in response to salinity stress in common ice plants (Su *et al.*, 2002).

We designed all primers using Primer 3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000), except for *ADH* that were obtained from Sang *et al.* (1997) and Fukuda *et al.* (2005). Information on genes, primers and accession numbers is summarized in Table 1.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were performed in a final volume of 20 µl containing 20 ng of template DNA, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.5 µM of each primer, $1 \times PCR$ buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 0.25 U Platinum *Taq*DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), under the following conditions: 94°C for 2 min, 33 cycles of 92°C for 50 s, 55°C for 50 s and 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension of 15 min at 72°C. Amplified products were examined by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis and then purified using a Macherey-Nagel "PCR clean-up Gel extraction" (NucleoSpin Extract II) Kit.

Purified samples were sequenced from both ends (F and R) on an ABI PRISM 3100 genetic analyzer. The DNA sequence chromatogram was edited with FinchTV

Gene		P. chilensis							P. Flexuosa							Hybrids						
		SNPs								SNPs							SNPs					
	N	Tot (Av.)	E	Ι	Н	Hd	Hd π	N	Tot (Av.)	E	I	Н	Hd	π	N	Tot (Av.)	E	I	Н	Hd	π	
sHSP	40	1	1	_	2	0.512	0.0039	38	1	1	_	2	0.102	0.0006	40	1	1	_	2	0.097	0.0009	
ERD 15	36	4	4	_	6	0.617	0.0052	32	5	5	_	6	0.697	0.0097	34	6	6	_	10	0.743	0.011	
Hak3P	40	3	3	_	4	0.529	0.0025	36	4	4	_	5	0.346	0.0018	38	5	5	_	5	0.724	0.0046	
PHD finger	36	4	0	4	4	0.424	0.0009	28	7	_	7	7	0.807	0.0026	32	11	_	11	7	0.825	0.0046	
Rab 7 GTP	28	7	1	6	7	0.825	0.0124	32	12	4	8	7	0.694	0.015	38	10	6	4	6	0.637	0.0124	
ADH I	34	_	_	_	0	_	_	38	8	1	7	7	0.842	0.0102	40	9	_	9	4	0.585	0.0085	
ADH II	30	6	5	1	2	0.497	0.0079	30	4	2	2	7	0.8	0.0039	28	9	7	2	5	0.762	0.0096	
Total	244	25 (84.28)	14 (88.14)	11 (79.36)	25	0.486	0.0046	234	41 (51.39)	17 (72.58)	24 (36.37)	41	0.612	0.0062	250	51 (41.31)	25 (49.36)	26 (33.57)	39	0.624	0.0073	

Table 2. SNPs, Nucleotide and haplotype diversity

References: N = number of samples, H = Number of haplotypes, Hd = haplotype diversity, Av = average frequency, E = exon regions, I = intron regions, π = nucleotide diversity.

software (version 1.4.0) and aligned with BioEdit software.

Haplotype reconstruction and the estimation of polymorphism was performed using DnaSP software v 5.0 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). The identity of the sequences was confirmed through BLASTN homology searches and the assignment of coding and non-coding regions were defined by aligning genomic sequences against a reference mRNA sequence.

Results and discussion

We amplified 2,107 bp from partial sequences of 6 candidate genes on P. *chilensis*, *P. flexuosa* and hybrids, consisting 1,234 bp of coding and 873 bp of non coding regions (Table 2).

The average SNP frequency was one per 84 bp in *P. chilensis*, one per 51bp in *P. flexuosa* and one per 41bp in (Table 2). In comparison with *Prosopis juliflora*, the SNP frequency from ESTs library 1.60 SNPs / 100 bp (Sablok & Shekhawat, 2008), result intermediate between *P. flexuosa* and hybrids. Similar to previous studies, the SNP frequency found in *Prosopis* species, was lower in coding regions than in non-coding regions, being mostly synonymous rather than non-synonymous (see supplementary material).

The difference in the abundance of SNPs between species and hybrids was also reflected by different average values of π and Watterson's θ w, being the average over

all five gene higher in hybrids (0.0073), and *P. flexuosa* (0.0062), and lower in *P. chilensis* (0.0046) (Table 2).

In the same way, the mean nucleotide diversity is comparable to the nucleotide diversity found in similar studies in forest trees species ie. *Populus* (Ismail *et al.*, 2012), *Pinus* (González Martinez *et al.*, 2006; Wachowiak *et al.*, 2011) and *Quercus* (Derory *et al.*, 2012).

The number of haplotypes ranged from two to seven across all the loci.

Pairwise Fst revealed the highest differentiation occurs between *P. flexuosa* and *P. chilensis* (Fst = 0.461), than between species and hybrids, whereas hybrids seem to be more similar to *Prosopis chilensis* (Fst = 0.180) than *P. Flexuosa* (Fst = 0.221). These results agree with an earlier work of Mottura (2006) with SSR markers.

These SNP markers identified in candidate genes can be use to estimate genetic diversity related to adaptive variation of natural populations, as complement to other neutral molecular markers, or future investigation for association mapping for identification of genomic regions that underlying phenotypic traits like drought stress response.

Acknowledgments

We thank Marcos Rodriguez for providing some primers designed on *P. juliflora*.

This research was supported by the INTA-PE242421 and PROMEF (Breeding Program Project of Forest Species) BIRFLN7520AR.

References

- Almoguera C, Coca MA, Jordano J, 1993. Tissue-specific expression of sunflower heat shock proteins in response to water stress. The plant journal 6: 947-958.
- Derory J, Scotti-Saintagne C, Bertocchi E, Le Dantec L, Graignic N, Jauffres A *et al.*, 2012. Contrasting relations between diversity of candidate genes and variation of bud burst in natural and segregating populations of European oaks. Heredity 105: 401-411.
- Elmeer RK, Almalki A, 2011. DNA Finger Printing of Prosopis cineraria and Prosopis juliflora Using ISSR and RAPD Techniques. American Journal of Plant Sciences 2: 527-534.
- Fukuda T, Yokoyama J, Nakamura T, Song I, Ito T, Ochiai T *et al.*, 2005. Molecular phylogeny and evolution of alcohol dehydrogenase (Adh) genes in legumes. BMC Plant Biolog 5: 6. Available in http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2229/5/6
- George S, Parida A, 2011. Over-expression of a Rab family GTPase from phreatophyte *Prosopis juliflora* confers tolerance to salt stress on transgenic Tobacco. MolBiol Rep 38: 1669-1674.
- González-Martínez SC, Ersoz E, Brown GR, Wheeler NC, Neale DB, 2006. DNA Sequence variation and selection of tag single-nucleotide polymorphisms at candidate genes for drought-stress response in *Pinus taeda* L. Genetics 172: 1915-1926.
- Ismail M, Ingvarsson PK, Guy RD, Jansson S, Silim SN, El-Kassaby YA, 2012. Comparative nucleotide diversity across North American and European *Populus* species. J Mol Evol 74: 257-72.
- Kariola T, Brader G, Helenius E, Li J, Heino P, Palva ET, 2006. Early responsive to dehydration 15, a negative regulator of abscisic acid responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 142: 1559-1573.
- Librado P, Rozas J, 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphims data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451-1452.
- Mottura MC, 2006. Development of microsatellites in *Prosopis* spp. And their application to study the reproduction system. University of Göttingen, Germany.

- Neale DB, Kremer A, 2011. Forest tree genomics: growing resources and applications. Nature reviews 12: 111-122.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ, 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 132: 365-386.
- Sablok G, Shekhawat NS, 2008. Bioinformatics Analysis of Distribution of Microsatellite Markers (SSRs) / Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs) in Expressed Transcripts of *Prosopis Juliflora*: frequency and distribution. J Comput Sci Syst Biol 1: 087-091.
- Saidman BO, Vilardi JC, Montoya S, Dieguez MJ, Hopp HE, 1998. Molecular markers: a tool for understanding the relationships among species of *Prosopis* (Leguminosae, Mimosoideae). In: Tree improvement: applied research and technology transfer (Puri S, ed). Science Pub Inc, Oxford and IBH, New Delhi. pp: 311-324.
- Sang T, Donoghue MJ, Zhang D, 1997. Evolution of alcohol dehydrogenase genes in peonies (Paeonia): phylogenetic relationships of putative nonhybrid species. Mol Biol Evol 14: 994-1007.
- Su H, Golldack D, Zhao C, Bohnert HJ, 2002. The expression of HAK-type K(+) transporters is regulated in response to salinity stress in common ice plant. Plant Physiol 129: 482-93.
- Suja AG, Venkataraman G, Parida A, 2007. Identification of stress-induced genes from the drought-tolerant plant *Prosopis juliflora* (Swartz) D. C. Through analysis of expressed sequences tags. Genome 50: 470-478.
- Wachowiak W, Salmela MJ, Ennos RA, Iason G, Cavers S, 2011. High genetic diversity at the extreme range edge: nucleotide variation at nuclear loci in *Scots pine* (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in Scotland. Heredity 106: 775-787.
- Wei W, Huang J, HaoYJ, Zou HF, Wang HW, Zhao JY et al., 2009. Soybean GmPHD-Type Transcription Regulators Improve Stress Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants. PLoS ONE 9, e7209. Available in http://www.plosone.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal. pone.0007209.